

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Mona Examination Progress Tracker

F03 [F03](#) [F04](#) (Tracked)

Deadline: **47**

Application Reference: EN010137

Document Reference: S_PD_4 **F03** [F04](#)

Document Number: MOCNS-J3303-JVW-10219

~~4 November 2024~~ [14 January 2025](#)

F03

[F03](#) [F04](#)



Image of an offshore wind farm

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Document status

Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
F01	Submission as Procedural Deadline 25 June 2024	RPS	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	25 June 2024
F02	Submission at Deadline 2	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	27 August 2024
F03	Submission at Deadline 4	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	4 November 2024
F04	Submission at Deadline 7	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	14 January 2025

Prepared by:

[Mona Offshore Wind Ltd.](#)

Prepared for:

Mona Offshore Wind Ltd.

1 EXAMINATION PROGRESS TRACKER

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1.1 On 7 June 2024, the Examining Authority (ExA) published the Rule 6 Letter.

1.1.1.2 This Examination Progress Tracker has been prepared to support an application by Mona Offshore Wind Ltd (the ‘Applicant’) for development consent to construct, operate and decommission the proposed Mona Offshore Wind Farm (the Project) located off the coast of North Wales. The Project comprises up to 96 wind turbine generators and associated onshore and offshore infrastructure. The Project will be located within Welsh waters and within the Counties of Denbighshire and Conwy.

1.2 Purpose of this Document

~~4.2.1.1~~ This document has been produced in response to the Examining Authority’s Rule 6 letter requesting a Pre-examination Progress Tracker, in the form of a table, reporting on what it considers are the principal, and other notable issues in the Examination. As the project is now in the Examination phase, at Deadline 2 the document was renamed Examination Progress Tracker with the same document number and an updated revision number (S_PD_4 F02). This is revision ~~34~~ of the Examination Progress Tracker (S_PD_4 ~~F03~~).

~~4.2.1.2~~ 1.2.1.1 ~~The~~F04). This version of the Examination Progress Tracker reflects the positions of the Applicant anticipates a further revision of the tracker will be provided and Interested Parties at Deadline 7 the close of Examination.

~~4.2.1.3~~ 1.2.1.2 Table 1.1, sets out the principal issues identified in the Examining Authority’s Rule 6 letter, logs the Interested Parties that have raised them through their relevant representations, written submissions, written representations and Local Impact Reports, summarises the Interested Parties’ and the Applicant’s positions and provides any updates on those positions.

~~4.2.1.4~~ 1.2.1.3 For ease of reference the table has used a “traffic-lighting” system to guide the reader to the likelihood of resolving the issues as follows:

- Green: The Interested Party/Parties’ and the Applicant’s positions are aligned.
- Amber: The Interested Party/Parties and the Applicant are making progress to align their positions but further work is required to achieve that.
- Red: The Interested Party/Parties and the Applicant are unable to align their positions.
- Beige: The Interested Party/Parties and the Applicant are unable to align their positions, however the matter is not considered to be material.
- Purple: The Applicant does not consider these are matters on which individual agreement will be reached but ~~are expected to be considered in detail during~~ these have been examined throughout the examination.

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

1.3 Examination Progress Tracker

Table 1.1: Examination Progress Tracker

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
1 - Air Quality		
Conwy County Borough Council (CCBC)	CCBC raised the need for mitigation measures for dust through their relevant representation (RR-009).	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.7).</p> <p>No further comments have been made by CCBC regarding dust or wider air quality issues in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049).</p> <p>CCBC have confirmed that the comments on mitigation for measures have been appropriately addressed (REP3-078, Q1.1.5). All matters regarding air quality have now been agreed and this is captured in the Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) with CCBC (REP3-061, paragraph CCBC.AQ.1).</p>
Natural Resources Wales (NRW)	NRW raised queries regarding the assessment of impacts to ecological receptors as a result of traffic related emissions through their relevant representation (RR-011).	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008).</p> <p>NRW have confirmed through their written representation (REP1-056) that this response has addressed their concerns, therefore the Applicant believes this issue has now been resolved.</p> <p>This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and NRW (REP1-026, paragraphs NRW.AQ.1 to NRW.AQ.8).</p>
Margaret Hussey Michael and Sally Leach Mr & Mrs J T Owen	Concerns around dust and fumes have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008; REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
2 – Construction		
Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited	Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited have raised concerns about the overlap of the Mona Offshore Wind Farm Project with the Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm near Bodelwyddan through	

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>their relevant representation (RR-003) and written representation (REP1-061).</p> <p>Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited have raised concerns offshore interfaces through their relevant representation (RR-003) and written representation (REP1-061).</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-003.1) and written representations (REP2-078).</p> <p>Detailed discussions regarding adequate protection of Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited's proposed assets are ongoing. The Applicant believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. The Applicant has included draft bespoke protective provisions for the protection of Awel y Mor within Schedule 10 of the Draft DCO (C1 F08) and is in ongoing discussion with Awel y Mor in relation to these. Please see Final Position Statement on Statutory Undertakers and Crown Land (S_D7_31).</p>
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited	Network Rail raised concerns regarding the construction of the railway crossing through their relevant representation (RR-060, RR-077) and written representation (REP1-057).	<p>Amber<u>Green</u>: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-060.1 and RR-077.1) and written representations (REP2-078).</p> <p>The Applicant is negotiating bespoke Protective Provisions with Network Rail and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. See the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F06) for further information. The Applicant has included Network Rail's preferred protective provisions within Schedule 10 of the Draft DCO (C1 F08). The Applicant has now agreed the required Framework Agreement with Network Rail to manage the direct interface that the DCO has with the operational railway and to regulate certain aspects of the relationship between Network Rail and the Applicant in relation to the delivery of the Proposed DCO Development. It is Network Rail's position that it will not withdraw its objection until the Framework Agreement has been completed but the parties are in the process of signing the Framework Agreement and that confirmation is expected to be shortly forthcoming. Updates on the position of negotiations with Statutory Undertakers is set out in the Final Position Statement on Statutory Undertakers and Crown Land (S_D7_31) and the Commercial Side Agreements tracker (REP1-036).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
SP Energy Networks	SP Energy Networks raised queries on utilities crossings through their written submission (PDA-049) and written representation (REP1-077).	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to the written submission (REP1-011, paragraph PDA-049.1 to PDA-049.9) and written representation (REP2-078).</p> <p>The Applicant has agreed bespoke Protective Provisions with SP Energy Networks which have been added to the Draft DCO (C1 F05) and it is expected that SP Energy Networks will withdraw their representations. The Applicant considers this matter closed. See the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F04) for further information.</p>
CCBC	Through their relevant representation (RR-009) and the Local Impact Report, CCBC have raised concerns regarding working hours.	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.8) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092).</p> <p>CCBC has concerns regarding the working hours, however the Applicant maintains the position that the proposed working hours are appropriate. This disagreement is captured in the SoCG (S_D3_23 F04).</p>
	Through the Local Impact Report, CCBC have raised concerns around cable crossing methodologies.	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092).</p> <p>The parties discussed the crossing of watercourses in a topic specific meetings taking place on 9 October 2024. The concerns around watercourse crossings have been resolved, see section 1.4.4 of the SoCG (S_D3_23 F04).</p>
		<p>Red: CCBC have also raised concerns regarding the feasibility of the crossing of Gwrych Castle Wood, as captured in the SoCG (S_D3_23 F04, CCBC.ARB.12). The Applicant has provided further detail in response to the Examining Authority's written questions (REP3-061, Q1.18.24). The Applicant maintains its position that it is not suitable to provide the feasibility report to the examination, therefore the parties are not agreed.</p>
Denbighshire County Council (DCC)	Through the Local Impact Report, DCC have raised concerns regarding working hours.	Red: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092).

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Through the Local Impact Report, DCC have raised concerns around cable crossing methodologies.</p>	<p>DCC has concerns regarding the working hours, however the Applicant maintains the position that the proposed working hours are appropriate. This disagreement is captured in the SoCG (S_D3_22 F04).</p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and the The parties are in discussion regarding discussed the crossing of watercourses, with in a topic specific meetings taking place on 9 October 2024. The Applicant believes these issues are capable The concerns around watercourse crossings have been resolved, see section 1.4.4 of resolution through the SoCG process. (S_D3_22 F04).</p>
<p>Health and Safety Executive DMPC Tan-y-Mynydd Trout Fishery Philip Banfield Jennings Building & Civil Engineering Limited Mr AEM Owen & A Owen Cyf Mr EW Roberts Mr G & Mrs M Williams Mr H & Mrs C Lloyd Mr RW Roberts Michael and Sally Leach Mr & Mrs J T Owen The Executors of the Late Sir David Watkin Williams-Wynn. Bt. Iwan Roberts</p>	<p>Concerns around cable crossings (onshore and offshore), construction working hours working hours and the Code of Construction Practice and associated plans have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations, written submissions and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Elizabeth Wade G W Parry Mrs H M Parry Robert Parry Stuart Neil Rebecca Face Margaret Hussey Martyn Hussey The Revd Canon Brian Mayne National Farmers Union Virgin Media O2 Cefn Meiriadog Community Council Davis Meade Property Consultants Micheal and Sally Leach Microsoft Mr and Mrs T Owen Lloyd Evans & Sons</p>		
<p>3 – Civil and military aviation and defence interests</p>		
<p>NATS (En Route) plc</p>	<p>The effects on primary surveillance radar (PSR) at Lowther Hill, St Annes, and Great Dun Fell, and appropriate mitigation.</p>	<p>Amber: Engagement between NATS and the Applicant commenced in 2021. The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-005.1) and a SoCG between the parties is being <u>has been</u> progressed, most recently updated <u>with the final version provided</u> at Deadline 3 (REP3-029). At Deadline 3, all matters in the SoCG were agreed apart from mitigation.7 (S_D1_19 F03).</p> <p>The Applicant has received details of preferred mitigation solutions from NATS to reduce the residual impact such that there is no longer a significant effect. The parties are engaging on a commercial agreement and DCO requirement to secure the</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>mitigation. The Applicant has proposed <u>wording of</u> a requirement for inclusion in the draft DCO in the updated SoCG at Deadline 3 (REP3-029) for consideration by NATS and is awaiting feedback on <u>has subsequently included this in the draft DCO at Deadline 5 (REP5-007)</u>. NATS have confirmed their agreement with the wording of the requirement <u>wording.</u> in the final SoCG (Row NATS.AR.13 in S_D1_19 F03).</p> <p>The parties <u>are continuing to engage on the associated commercial agreement as a priority and</u> will provide further updates on progress through subsequent SoCG and Commercial Side Agreements Tracker (REP1-036) submissions. The Applicant believes the issue is capable <u>an update to the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero at the appropriate time.</u></p>
Blackpool Airport	The mitigation for potential for significant effects on Instrument Flight Procedures (IFPs) at Blackpool Airport through an increase in the Minimum Sector Altitude (MSA).	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-058.1). The Applicant is progressing <u>has progressed</u> a SoCG with Blackpool Airport, most recently updated <u>with the final version provided</u> at Deadline 3 (REP3-0307 (S_D1_21 F03)).</p> <p>The mitigation identified to reduce the residual impact such that there is no longer a significant effect is an increase to the current MSA. The Applicant is working with Blackpool Airport to ensure that this mitigation is in place so that the Mona Offshore Wind Project will not have a significant effect on Blackpool Airport IFPs.</p> <p>The CAA has requested that Blackpool Airport undertake a 5-year review of safeguarding requirements which includes consideration of the effects of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, which is expected to be completed by the end of November 2024, after which it will be issued to the CAA for their approval. Blackpool Airport have confirmed that it is likely that CAA approval will not be provided until after <u>this process was not yet complete at</u> the end of the Examination.</p> <p>The parties will provide further updates through subsequent SoCG submissions. The Applicant believes the issue is capable</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>of resolution. The Applicant has provided an update on the status of discussions with Blackpool Airport in the Applicant's summary of oral submissions at Issue Specific Hearing 6 (section 4 of REP6-083).</p> <p>Since Deadline 6, the parties have engaged further and have agreed that a requirement to secure implementation of mitigation for MSA/IFP and where necessary, VHF communications and direction finding capabilities. The wording of a requirement has been agreed with the Airport (see row BA.AR.12 of S_D1_21 F03) and has been included in the draft DCO at Deadline 7 (C1 F08). It is the Applicant position that all issues are agreed as set out in the final SoCG (S_D1_21 F03).</p>
Defence Infrastructure Organisation	Potential effects on the operation and capability of the PSR operated by BAE Systems at Warton Aerodrome.	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-013.4) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraph REP1-054.2). The Applicant is progressing <u>has progressed</u> a SoCG with the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO), most recently updated with the final version provided at Deadline 3 (REP3-0337 (S_D2_9 F03)). The Applicant has provided an update on engagement with DIO and BAE Systems Warton in the Applicant's summary of oral submissions at Issue Specific Hearing 4 (S_D4_) <u>6 (section 4 of REP6-083)</u>.</p> <p>The Applicant accepts the potential for significant effects on the PSR at Warton Aerodrome operated by BAE Systems. The Applicant has engaged directly with BAE Systems Warton on the nature of the mitigation required to reduce the residual impact such that there is a no longer a significant effect.</p> <p>BAE Systems Warton Aerodrome are in the process of implementing a new PSR which is <u>not</u> expected to be online by before the end of 2024 <u>the Examination</u>. BAE Systems have provided indicative details of the required mitigation and only anticipate being in a position to provide further information when their new PSR is fully commissioned.</p> <p>BAE Systems Warton and the DIO are considering whether they are able to release <u>have released</u> specific technical information</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>request by the Applicant in the interim, which would provide<u>has provided</u> the Applicant with assurance that a mitigation solution is feasible from a technical standpoint.</p> <p>Once details are available, the mitigation will be agreed with BAE Systems and provided to the DIO, however it likely that this will<u>was</u> not be achievable before the end of the Examination.</p> <p>The Applicant has proposed <u>wording of</u> a requirement for inclusion in the draft DCO in the updated SoCG at Deadline 3 (REP3-033) for consideration by the Defence Infrastructure Organisation. <u>The Applicant subsequently included requirement 23 "Warton Aerodrome Primary Surveillance Radar" in the Draft DCO (C1 F08) at Deadline 5 (REP5-007), which was updated at Deadline 7. The DIO have stated that they cannot agreed to the wording of the requirement until a technical and operational assessment has been completed, however due to details of the radar being confined by a non-disclosure agreement at the end of the examination, it has not yet been possible for the Applicant to complete these assessments (see DIO.AR.15 of the final SoCG (S_D2_9 F03)).</u></p> <p>The Applicant will continue to engage with the DIO and Warton Aerodrome and, which will provide updates also be progressed through subsequent SoCG submissions. The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution <u>Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets DCO application.</u></p>
Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure, Isle of Man Government)	Potential effects on safety and operations of civil aviation at Ronaldsway Isle of Man Airport and appropriate mitigation.	<p><u>AmberGreen:</u> The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-018.13) and is progressing<u>has progressed</u> a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee, most recently updated with the final version provided at Deadline 3 (REP3-0257 (S_D1_11 F04)).</p> <p>The Applicant met with Ronaldsway Airport on 10 and 24 October 2024 to discuss the results of their surveillance strategy, an initial report on which was issued to the Applicant on 11 October 2024.</p> <p>A progress update on this matter has been provided at Deadline 4 in section 7b<u>the Applicant's summary of the oral submissions</u></p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>at Issue Specific Hearing Summary (ISH4)- Offshore Matters (S_D4_46 (section 4 of REP6-083)).</p> <p>Further engagement is planned between the The Applicant, included the wording of a requirement in the Draft DCO at Deadline 6 (REP6-017) and the parties have agreed on the wording through the final SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee and Ronaldsway Airport on this matter. The parties will provide updates through subsequent SoCG submissions. (see TSC.AR.12 of the final SoCG (S_D1_11 F04)).</p> <p>The Applicant believes considers that the issue is capable of resolution has been agreed between the parties.</p>
Eni UK	Potential for overlap or interference in logistics activities, including aviation.	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-019.3 and RR-019.5) and is has progressing a SoCG with Eni UK, most recently updated with the final version provided at Deadline 3 (REP3-0327 (S_D1_30 F03)).</p> <p>Aviation and Radar was not included as a standalone topic within the initial SoCG submitted, noting that there has been agreement between parties to meet regularly to discuss their respective activity programmes in order to minimise disruption to either party's operations and to maximise co-existence.</p> <p>The Applicant therefore considers that Eni UK do not have any outstanding concerns relating to this issue. the issue has been agreed between the parties.</p>
Burbo Extension Limited Walney Extension Limited	Other operational offshore wind farms in the Irish Sea (Burbo Bank Extension and Walney Extension) have implemented mitigation for potential impacts on the Warton Airfield Primary Surveillance Radar and request assurance that the Mona Offshore Wind Project will not adversely affect or increase the cost of such mitigation (RR-007, RR-008, REP1-063).	<p>AmberBeige: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations from Burbo Extension Limited and Walney Extension Ltd (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-007.7 and RR-008.7 respectively). The OrstedOrsted IPs made comments on the Applicant's response to their relevant representations at Deadline 2 (REP2-104), which the Applicant has subsequently responded to at Deadline 3 (paragraphs REP2-104.8 to REP2-104.20 of REP3-042). <u>The Applicant has progressed a SoCG with the Orsted IPs (who represent both Burbo Extension Limited and Walney Extension Limited) (S_D6_48 F01).</u></p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>The As outlined above on principle issue “Potential effects on the operation and capability of the PSR operated by BAE Systems at Warton Aerodrome”, the Applicant has been notified by and the Defence Infrastructure Organisation stating their objection to the Mona Offshore Wind Project engaged on the basis wording of the requirement which secures mitigation for potential for significant effects on the PSR at BAE Systems Warton Aerodrome. The Applicant accepts the potential relating to the Mona Offshore Wind Project, which the Applicant updated at in the draft DCO (C1 F08) at Deadline 7. However, DIO have stated that they cannot agreed to the wording of the requirement until a technical and operational assessment has been completed, however due to details of the radar being confined by a non-disclosure agreement at the end of the examination, it has not yet been possible for significant effects and is engaging with the Defence Infrastructure Organisation and BAE Systems on the mitigation required to reduce the residual impact such that there is a no longer a significant effect, the Applicant to complete these assessments as outlined above and detailed in the final SoCG with the Defence Infrastructure Organisation, most recently updated at Deadline 3 (REP3-033 (S_D2_9 F03)).</p> <p>The Applicant notes that the Orsted IPs were invited to comment on behalf The status of Burbo Extension Limited and Walney Extension Limited regarding this matter during ISH4 but confirmed they did not have anything further to add.</p> <p>Agreement discussions on this matter is subject to agreement reflected in the final SoCG between the parties (see OIP.A&R.1 of S_D6_48 F02). The Applicant is unable to align their position with the Ørsted IPs however the Applicant and the Defence Infrastructure Organisation on principle issue “Potential effects on the operation and capability of the PSR operated by BAE Systems at Warton Aerodrome” above does not consider this matter to be material.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited</p> <p>Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited (MVOWFL)</p>	<p>Other planned offshore wind farms in the Irish Sea (Morecambe and Moor Vannin) have highlighted potential for cumulative effects in relation to aviation and radar.</p> <p>MVOWFL anticipate that there may be a requirement for mitigation in relation to potential impacts on PSR and request assurance that Mona Offshore Wind Project has appropriately assessed potential impacts on PSR alone and cumulatively with other projects.</p>	<p>Amber/Beige: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations from Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited and Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited (PDA-008: paragraph RR-046.1 and RR-045.8 respectively).</p> <p>In their responses to ExQ1 (REP3-101), MVOWFL confirmed that they are satisfied with the assessment of cumulative effects in relation to aviation and radar presented in Volume 4, Chapter 1: Aviation and radar (APP-075) and stated their desire to discuss strategic mitigation with other East Irish Sea developers and Ronaldsway Airport. The Applicant has responded to MVOWFL (S_D4_44REP4-073), noting that Ronaldsway Airport will commission an aviation surveillance strategy to address the Airport’s safeguarding requirements in response to onshore and offshore renewable energy project proposals in the eastern and western Irish Sea. It is expected that the Airport will lead on any strategic requirements associated with implementation of the aviation surveillance strategy. Agreement has been reached with Ronaldsway Airport, as detailed above.</p> <p>The Regarding Morecambe Offshore Wind Limited, the Applicant believes considers this matter should will be considered resolved once matters above relating to PSR agreement has been reached on the principle issue “Potential effects on the operation and mitigation are agreed capability of the PSR operated by BAE Systems at Warton Aerodrome” with the respective PSR operators Defence Infrastructure Organisation, as detailed above.</p> <p>On the basis that the outstanding points are for agreement with another party, DIO, the Applicant does not consider this matter to be material.</p>
<p>4 – Climate change and greenhouse gas emissions</p>		
<p>Stena Line Ltd</p> <p>Dr Jonathan F Dean</p>	<p>Concerns around the impact of the project on overall greenhouse gas emissions and climate change have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
5 – Commercial fisheries		
Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure, Isle of Man Government)	The Territorial Sea Committee requested clarification on how cumulative impacts have been assessed with respect to Isle of Man fishers and whether monitoring is required in their relevant representation (RR-018) and response to ISH2 hearing action point 18 (REP1-012).	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-018.3, and RR-018.5 to 11), a related Hearing Action Point (REP1-012, paragraph ISH2_HAP_28) and acknowledges the Territorial Sea Committee’s response to ISH2_HAP_28 (REP1-024).</p> <p>The Applicant met with Isle of Man commercial fisheries stakeholders in July and September to discuss and agree updates to several of the measures set out in the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan (REP3-016).</p> <p>The Applicant considers the issue has been resolved, as reflected in the final SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee covering commercial fisheries matters as updated at Deadline 37 (Table 1.9 of REP3-025S_D1_11 F04).</p>
Bodorgan Marine Limited	Bodorgan Marine Limited consider that the project’s approach to co-existence does not align with the Welsh National Marine Plan (WNMP), specifically with regard to opportunities for co-location with mussel aquaculture, as set out in their relevant representation (RR-006) and , written representation at Deadline 1 (REP1-062), ISH4 oral submissions (REP4-113) , response to ExQ2 (REP5-103) , and written submissions at Deadline 6 (REP6-140) .	<p>Amber/Beige: The Applicant has responded to all Examination submissions from Bodorgan Marine Limited, including: relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-006.1) and, written representations (REP2-078, paragraph REP1-062.1) .</p> <p>As outlined in the Applicant’s response to written representations), ISH4 post-hearing submission (REP5-062), ExQ2 submission (REP6-106) and written submissions at Deadline 6 (S_D7_14). In addition, the Applicant would welcome engagement with the North Wales aquaculture industry. The Applicant has requested a meeting with Bodorgan Marine Limited to hear their views on the Mona Offshore Wind Project. The Applicant notes Bodorgan Marine Limited’s oral submissions at ISH4 and is anticipating that Bodorgan Marine Limited will submit a summary of their oral submissions at Deadline 4. The Applicant will respond accordingly. and the parties met on 19 December 2024.</p> <p>The Applicant believes considers the issue matter has not been agreed between the parties however does not consider that this matter is capable of resolution material.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>ANIFPO Michael Rowlings Northern Ireland Fish Producers' Organisation Northern Ireland Fishermen's Federation Scottish Fisherman's Federation Scottish Whitefish Producers Association West Coast Sea Products Ltd</p>	<p>Interaction between commercial fishing activities and the Mona Offshore Wind Project, and the requirement for ongoing engagement to ensure fisheries liaison and co-existence measures are appropriate was highlighted by commercial fisheries stakeholders in their relevant representations (RR-001, RR-044, RR-062, RR-063, RR-072, RR-073 and RR-089).</p> <p>Outstanding points of discussion regarding measures contained in the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan (APP-499J13 F03) relate to cable burial depth and the presence of cables and cable protection within the scallop mitigation zone (SMZ) were raised in written representations at Deadline 1 (REP1-075, REP1-076 and REP1-081).</p>	<p>AmberAgreed: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-001.1, RR-044.1, RR-062.1, RR-063.1, RR-072.1, RR-073.1 and RR-089.1) and and written representations submitted by Scottish Fisherman's Federation, Scottish Whitefish Producers Association and West Coast Sea Products Ltd (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-075.4 to 12, REP1-076.4 to 12 and REP1-081.6 to 10).</p> <p>The Applicant met with commercial fisheries stakeholders in July to capture their views on the measures set out in the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan (APP-499FLCP) (J13 F03). In September, the Applicant and stakeholders met to discuss the Applicant's proposed updates to measures to address concerns raised by stakeholders in July. These updates were incorporated into the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan as updated at Deadline 3 (REP3-016). Further engagement with fishing organisations is planned for November.FLCP (J13 F03) at Deadline 3. Further engagement with fishing organisations took place in November, and a representative of the Scottish Whitefish Producers Association attended ISH4 on Offshore Matters, where they indicated that they were satisfied with the level of consultation that had taken place on the Outline FLCP. The ExA invited commercial fisheries stakeholders to provide comment on whether additional mitigation measures were required in the second round of written questions (see Q2.5.6 in PD-018) and no further responses were received</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to continued engagement with fishing organisations to agree details of measures in the final FLCP post-consent.</p> <p>The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution.has been agreed between the parties on the basis that no responses were received to ExQ2 Q2.5.6 or further representations made into the Examination.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Scottish Fisherman’s Federation Scottish Whitefish Producers Association West Coast Sea Products Ltd</p>	<p>Concerns regarding the size of SMZ were raised in written representations by Scottish fisheries stakeholders at Deadline 1 (REP1-075, REP1-076 and REP1-081).</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to written representations submitted by Scottish Fisherman’s Federation, Scottish Whitefish Producers Association and West Coast Sea Products Ltd (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-075.10 to 12, REP1-076.10 to 12 and REP1-081.9 to 10).</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to a minimum size of the SMZ in the updated Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan at Deadline 3 (REP3-016). The Applicant has set out its approach to defining the extent of the SMZ based on information provided by stakeholders during pre-application consultation, as detailed in the Applicant’s response to ExQ1 Q1.5.1 (REP3-066).</p> <p>The Applicant has responded to the ExA’s request for further detail on the effects of changing the size of the SMZ on wind farm capacity density in HAP_ISH4_18.</p> <p>The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution. <u>Further engagement with fishing organisations took place in November, and a representative of the Scottish Whitefish Producers Association attended ISH4 on Offshore Matters, during which the Applicant’s submission on the SMZ extent was discussed (see paragraphs 48 to 52 of REP4-034). Subsequently, in their response to ISH4 action point at Deadline 4 (REP4-125), the Scottish Whitefish Producers Association confirmed the extent of the area considered by them to be “very important queen scallop ground”, which the Applicant confirmed it has used to define the SMZ in their response (REP5-069).</u></p> <p><u>Further submissions on this matter have not been received from the stakeholders. As such, the Applicant believes the issue has been agreed between the parties on the basis that no responses were received to ExQ2 Q2.5.6 or further representations made into the Examination.</u></p>
<p>Scottish Fisherman’s Federation Scottish Whitefish Producers Association</p>	<p>Disagreement with the minor adverse effect identified for ‘loss or restricted access to fishing grounds’ for the Scottish west coast scallop vessels receptor group was stated in written</p>	<p>PurpleBeige: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-075.3, REP1-076.3 and REP1-081.2 to 5). The Applicant has committed to monitoring of <u>king and queen scallop</u> in the updated Outline</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
West Coast Sea Products Ltd.	representations at Deadline 1 (REP1-075, REP1-076 and REP1-081).	<p>Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence FLCP (J13 F03) and Offshore In-Principle Monitoring Plan (REP3-016 J15 F03).</p> <p>The Applicant will consider and respond to any further provided oral submissions made. Engagement on this matter at ISH4: Offshore Matters (see paragraphs 41 to 44 of REP4-034).</p> <p>The Applicant has been unable to align their position with fishing organisations is ongoing the parties however on the basis that no further representations have been made into the Examination, the Applicant does not consider this matter to be material.</p>
<p>Scottish Fisherman's Federation</p> <p>Scottish Whitefish Producers Association</p> <p>West Coast Sea Products Ltd.</p>	<p>In their written representations at Deadline 1 (in their written representations at Deadline 1 (REP1-075, REP1-076 and REP1-081) these IPs stated their disagreement with the minor adverse effect identified for the cumulative effects assessment on commercially important fish and shellfish resources in Volume 2, Chapter 6: Commercial fisheries (APP-058), specifically relating to queen and king scallop.</p> <p>This relates to the IPs disagreement with the conclusion of minor adverse effects in the CEA for long term habitat loss on this receptor in Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (APP-055).</p>	<p>Purple Beige: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-075.15 to 18, REP1-076.15 to 17 and REP1-081.13 to 16). The Applicant has committed to monitoring of queen scallop in the updated Outline Fisheries Liaison and Co-existence Plan (REP3-016). king and queen scallop. in the updated Outline FLCP (J13 F03) and Offshore In-Principle Monitoring Plan (J15 F03). The monitoring approach will be cognisant of similar commitments made by the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets Project, methodologies from other regional monitoring programmes, and input from key fisheries stakeholders.</p> <p>The Applicant will consider and respond to any further submissions made. Engagement with fishing organisations is ongoing. The Applicant made oral submissions on this matter at ISH4: Offshore Matters (see paragraph 45 of REP4-034). The Applicant highlights that NRW Advisory have confirmed their agreement with the assessment of potential long term habitat loss impacts on king and queen scallop in Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (APP-055), which is reflected in the final offshore statement of common ground with NRW (A) (S_D1_12 F03).</p> <p>The Applicant has been unable to align their position with the parties however on the basis that no further representations have been made into the Examination, the Applicant does not consider this matter to be material.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
The Traditional & Sustainable Commercial Fishing Association.	Potential effects on fish and shellfish ecology leading to effects on commercial fisheries receptors, specifically concerning the potential for construction activities to disrupt sea bass migration routes were highlighted in the Traditional & Sustainable Commercial Fishing Association's relevant representation (RR-084).	Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-006.1). The Applicant notes that the Traditional & Sustainable Commercial Fishing Association did not submit a written representation on this matter and therefore considers that they do not have outstanding concerns on this issue.
Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited	Other planned offshore wind farms in the Irish Sea (Morecambe and Moor Vannin) highlighted potential for cumulative effects in relation to commercial fisheries in their relevant representations (RR-046 and RR-045).	Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations from both IPs (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-045.6 and RR-046.1). The Applicant notes that this matter was not raised in further submissions by the Ørsted IPs (REP1-072, REP2-104, REP3-104) and therefore considers that Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited do not have outstanding concerns on this issue.

6 – Compulsory acquisition and/or temporary possession

	The need for and the amount of land, rights and powers proposed to be subject to Compulsory Acquisition/ and or Temporary Possession.	Amber: Please see the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F04), Closing Submissions (S_D7_2) and Final Position Statement on Statutory Undertakers and Crown Land (S_D7_31) for full details of progress on these principal issues.
	The requirement for the powers sought and the need to establish a compelling case in the public interest.	
	The position and/ or effects of Statutory Undertakers and Protective Provisions and whether the tests of s127(2), (3), (5) and (6) and s138(4) of the PA2008 are satisfied.	
	The adequacy and security of funding for compensation.	
	Whether the proposals meet the requirements of PA2008 in all other respects.	

7 – Draft development consent order

Network Rail Infrastructure Limited	Through their relevant representation (RR-060, RR-077) and written representation (REP1-057), Network Rail have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Protected Provisions.	Amber: The Applicant is negotiating bespoke Protective Provisions with Network Rail and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. See the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F06) for further information. Amber: The
-------------------------------------	---	--

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-060.1 and RR-077.1) and written representations (REP2-078). The Applicant has included Network Rail's preferred protective provisions within Schedule 10 of the Draft DCO (C1 F08). The Applicant has now agreed the required Framework Agreement with Network Rail to manage the direct interface that the DCO has with the operational railway and to regulate certain aspects of the relationship between Network Rail and the Applicant in relation to the delivery of the Proposed DCO Development. It is Network Rail's position that it will not withdraw its objection until the Framework Agreement has been completed but the parties are in the process of signing the Framework Agreement and that confirmation is expected to be shortly forthcoming. Updates on the position of negotiations with Statutory Undertakers is set out in the Final Position Statement on Statutory Undertakers and Crown Land (S_D7_31) and the Commercial Side Agreements tracker (REP1-036).</p>
<p>Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited</p>	<p>Through their relevant representation (RR-003) and written representation (REP1-061), Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Protected Provisions.</p>	<p>Amber: Detailed discussions regarding adequate protection of Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited's assets are ongoing. The Applicant believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. The Applicant has included draft bespoke protective provisions for the protection of Awel y Mor within Schedule 10 of the Draft DCO (C1 F08) and is in ongoing discussion with Awel y Mor in relation to these. Please see Final Position Statement on Statutory Undertakers and Crown Land (S_D7_31).</p>
<p>National Grid</p>	<p>Through their relevant representation (RR-057) and written representation (REP1-055), National Grid have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Protected Provisions.</p>	<p>Amber: The Applicant is negotiating bespoke Protective Provisions with National Grid and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. See the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F06) and see Final Position Statement on Statutory Undertakers and Crown Land (S_D7_31) for further information.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC)</p>	<p>Through their relevant representation (RR-033) and written representation (REP1-066), JNCC have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Deemed Marine Licence.</p>	<p><u>Red: The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with JNCC (S_D1_15 F03) at Deadline 7. Agreement has been reached on the draft DCO and dML for offshore ornithology and marine mammals (apart from UXO clearance which is covered in the row below). Subject to the Applicant securing the mitigation proposed by the JNCC for seapens and burrowing megafauna communities in its Deadline 6 submission (REP6-135), which the Applicant confirms has been committed to in the Mitigation and Monitoring Schedule (J10 F07) submitted at Deadline 7, the JNCC would agree that the mitigation and monitoring requirements/conditions for offshore benthic ecology are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application. The JNCC's position on this matter is documented in the final SoCG (S_D1_15 F03) submitted at Deadline 7. The Applicant is unable to align its position with the JNCC in relation to UXO clearance and the potential impacts to marine mammals.</u></p>
	<p>Inclusion of Unexploded ordnance (UXO) clearance as a licensed activity in the DCO and deemed marine licence</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.42, RR-033.51 in PDA-008), written representation (REP1-066.86-110 in REP2-080REP2-080) and to the ExA Question Q1.17.9 (Response to Examining Authority's Written Questions REP3-062). <u>The Applicant set out their position on UXO clearance in UXO Clearance Position Statement (REP4-086) at Deadline 4.</u></p> <p><u>To try and address JNCC's concerns, the Applicant committed at Deadline 5 to the use of low order UXO clearance methods only. High-order UXO clearance will, therefore, not be authorised under the DCO, and will not be applied for under the standalone NRW Marine Licence (ML).</u></p> <p>The Applicant maintains that it is appropriate to include consideration of UXO clearance within the Application (and for it to be licenced within the dML and NRW marine licence) as this is fundamental to the pre-construction phase and as such the assessment should consider all potential sources of impact, particularly with respect to underwater sound <u>and considers that its approach aligns with current guidance.</u></p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
NRW Advisory	Through their relevant representation (RR-011) and written representation (REP1-056), NRW have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Deemed Marine Licence.	<p>The Applicant is unable to align their position with the JNCC.</p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant is progressing submitted a final SoCGs with NRW (REP1-024, REP1-025, REP1-026) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process.</p> <p>The Applicant responded to NRW's queries S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 2 in the Applicants Appendix to Response to WRs: NRW (REP2-080) and 7 which has responded to NRW's Deadline 3 submission (S_D4_16). been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter has now been resolved.</p>
SP Energy Networks	Through their written submission (PDA-049) and written representation (REP1-077), SP Energy Networks have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order and Protected Provisions.	Green: The Applicant has agreed bespoke Protective Provisions with SP Energy Networks which have been added to the Draft DCO (C1 F05) and it is expected that SP Energy Networks will withdraw their representations. The Applicant considers this matter closed. See the Land Rights Tracker (S_PD_5 F04) for further information.
CCBC	Through the Local Impact Report (REP1-049), CCBC have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order.	Green: With the exception of the issues around working hours and approval periods (see below) all matters regarding the draft DCO have been agreed in the SoCG with CCBC (S_D3_23 F04, section 1.4.12)
	Through the Local Impact Report (REP1-049), CCBC have raised queries on concerns around the construction working hours set out in the Draft Development Consent Order.	<p>Red: CCBC do not accept the Applicant's proposed working hours and continue to request that working hours are amended as per the request made in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049).</p> <p>The Applicant maintains the position that the proposed working hours are appropriate.</p> <p>Further details on the positions of both parties is provided in the SoCG with CCBC (S_D3_23 F04, paragraph CCBC.DCO.8).</p>
	Through the Local Impact Report (REP1-049), DCC have raised queries on concerns around the approval periods out in the Draft Development Consent Order.	Red: CCBC do not accept the Applicant's proposed approval periods and continue to request that these are amended as per the request made in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049).

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>The Applicant <u>maintains the position that the approval periods are appropriate.</u></p> <p><u>Further details on the positions of both parties is provided in the SoCG with CCBC (S_D3_23 F04, paragraph CCBC.DCO.10).</u></p>
<p><u>DCC</u></p>	<p><u>Through the Local Impact Report (REP1-049), DCC have raised queries on the Draft Development Consent Order.</u></p>	<p><u>Green: With the exception of the issues around working hours and approval periods (see below) all matters regarding the draft DCO have been agreed in the SoCG with DCC (S_D3_22 F04, section 1.4.12)</u></p>
	<p><u>Through the Local Impact Report (REP1-049), DCC have raised concerns around the construction working hours set out in the Draft Development Consent Order.</u></p>	<p><u>Red: DCC do not accept the Applicant's proposed working hours and continue to request that working hours are amended as per the request made in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049).</u></p> <p><u>The Applicant maintains the position that the proposed working hours are appropriate.</u></p> <p><u>Further details on the positions of both parties is provided in the SoCG with DCC (S_D3_22 F04, paragraph DCC.DCO.8).</u></p>
	<p><u>Through the Local Impact Report (REP1-049), DCC have raised concerns around the approval periods out in the Draft Development Consent Order.</u></p>	<p><u>Red: DCC do not accept the Applicant's proposed approval periods and continue to request that these are amended as per the request made in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049).</u></p> <p><u>The Applicant maintains the position that the approval periods are appropriate.</u></p> <p><u>Further details on the positions of both parties is provided in the SoCG with DCC (S_D3_22 F04, paragraph DCC.DCO.11).</u></p>
<p>DMPC Jennings Building & Civil Engineering Limited Mr AEM Owen & A Owen Cyf Mr EW Roberts Mr G & Mrs M Williams Mr H & Mrs C Lloyd Mr RW Roberts</p>	<p>Concerns around the appropriateness of the Draft Development Consent Order have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP1-011) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>J Bradburne Price & co H L and RJL Evans Mr Roberts Mr and Mrs Davies W L Evans Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited Martyn and Margaret Hussey Michael and Sally Leach Microsoft Mr and Mrs J T Owen Orsted IPs</p>		

8 – Flood risk and water environment

<p>NRW</p>	<p>Compliance with the Water Framework Directive (WFD) – NRW raised queries regarding the baseline fluvial geomorphology data, assessment of impacts on the physical form and natural sediment processes of rivers and the assessment of risk of deterioration in status or the prevention of achieving objectives for the relevant WFD waterbodies through their relevant representation (RR-011).</p> <p>In their written representation (REP1-056), NRW have advised that some of the methods, such as trenching (as part of the cable installation), and use of culverts (as part of the haul roads) may not be appropriate at some watercourse crossing locations. They have repeated the request for a geomorphological field survey to be carried out to ascertain the local conditions at each site and thereby determine the appropriate type of cable or haul road crossing required and demonstrate that there will not be impacts on fluvial geomorphology and WFD waterbodies. NRW have also reiterated the advice to include Rhyl East and Abergele (Pensarn) bathing waters sites for assessment of impact.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-011.108 and RR-011.112 to 115).</p> <p>A meeting was held on 9 October 2024 to discuss the geomorphology baseline and watercourse crossing methodologies and subsequently the Applicant has submitted geomorphology technical note (S_D4_7). The Applicant believes a <u>Geomorphology Clarification Note (REP4-040)</u>. NRW <u>have confirmed in their written submission at Deadline 5 (REP5-098) that they are satisfied with the content of this will resolve NRW's remaining comments note and will update the it resolves their concerns. The SoCG (REP1-026) has been updated to reflect this-</u> (S D1 13 F03).</p> <p>NRW have confirmed in their Deadline 3 written representation (REP3-090, paragraph 205) that they have no further comments regarding the Kinmel Bay, Rhyl and Rhyl East Bathing Waters. The Applicant will update the SoCG (REP1-026) <u>has been updated</u> to reflect this- (S D1 13 F03).</p>
------------	--	---

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Surface watercourses and crossings – In their relevant representation (RR-011), NRW requested updates to figures within Volume 7, Annex 2.2: Surface watercourses and NRW Flood Zones to provide clarity.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.138).</p> <p>NRW have confirmed through their Written Representation (REP1-056) that this response has addressed their concerns, therefore the Applicant believes this issue has now been resolved.</p> <p>This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and NRW (REP1-026, paragraphs NRW.HFR.01 to NRW.HFR.10).</p>
<p>CCBC</p>	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further baseline data to be provided in relation to fluvial geomorphology.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.72 to REP1-049.85).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and the parties are in discussion regarding the crossing of watercourses, with a topic specific A meeting taking place was held on 9 October 2024.</p> <p>The Applicant has provided further information on to discuss the geomorphology baseline (S_D4_7) <u>and watercourse crossing methodologies</u> and believes this will resolve NRW's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP3-061) <u>subsequently the Applicant submitted a Geomorphology Clarification Note (REP4-040). The SoCG with CCBC has been updated to reflect this to Council's position that this note sufficiently addresses their concerns (S_D3_23 F04).</u></p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the assessment of impacts to surface waters and the management of water during construction.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.72 to REP1-049.85).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes S_D3_23 F04 has been updated to reflect CCBC's position that this issue is capable of resolution through this process. matter has now been resolved.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
DCC	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further baseline data to be provided in relation to fluvial geomorphology.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.72 to REP1-049.85). The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and the parties are in discussion regarding the crossing of watercourses, with a topic specific <u>A meeting taking place was held</u> on 9 October 2024.</p> <p>The Applicant has provided further information on to discuss the geomorphology baseline (S_D4_7)and watercourse crossing methodologies and believes this will resolve NRW's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP3-060)subsequently <u>the Applicant submitted a Geomorphology Clarification Note (REP4-040). The SoCG with DCC has been updated to reflect this to Council's position that this note sufficiently addresses their concerns (S_D3_22 F04).</u></p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the assessment of impacts to surface waters and the management of water during construction.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.72 to REP1-049.85). The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common Ground with DCC (REP3-060) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process. <u>The SoCG with DCC (S_D3_22 F04) has been updated to reflect CCBC's position that this matter has now been resolved.</u></p>
Stuart Neil Rebecca Face Martyn Hussey	<p>Concerns around contamination of watercourses and flooding have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
9 – Geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions		
NRW	<p>NRW proposed a number of minor amendments to the Outline Code of Construction practice and underpinning outline method statements in their relevant representation (RR-011). This included changes to confirm mitigation measures surrounding</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-011.125 and RR-011.130).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>private water supplies and the trenchless technique to be used at landfall and to provide further detail on mitigation measures for working near historic mines.</p>	<p>Through their written representation (REP1-056) NRW have agreed with the Applicant's proposals for updating the Outline Code of Construction Practice and associated outline method statements.</p> <p>The relevant updates have been made to the following documents at Deadline 2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outline Code of Construction Practice (J26 F02) • Outline Landfall Construction Method Statement (J26.14 F02) <p>NRW have confirmed through their written representation (REP3-90, section 2.5) that they consider impacts on water quality (both surface and ground water) will be appropriately managed and suitable mitigation measures will be adopted and they have confirmed they have no further comments.</p> <p>The Applicant will capture this agreement in an update to the SoCG with NRW (REP1-026).</p>
<p>CCBC</p>	<p>CCBC raised the need for further assessment of private water supplies through their relevant representation (RR-009).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.5).</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) states "<i>the baseline provides sufficient information to inform the assessment. It is noted that two private water supplies (PWS 06 and PWS 07) have been identified but not located. The assessment appendix subsequently takes an appropriately conservative approach to assessment for these supplies (assumes high risk of impact) and includes mitigation (consultation and survey) to address at a future date</i>" therefore the Applicant considers this issue resolved.</p> <p>This agreement is captured in the SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061, CCBC.GHGC.4).</p>
<p>Tan-y-Mynydd Trout Fishery Forsters LLP on behalf of The Executors of the Late Sir David Watkin Williams-Wynn. Bt.</p>	<p>Concerns around impacts to groundwater have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
10 – Habitats regulations assessment		
JNCC	Offshore ornithology screening with the HRA Stage 1 screening report	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.30-36 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.37-45 and REP1-066.73-76-79 in REP2-081). An updated HRA Stage 1 Screening Report (REP2-012E1.4 F03) has also been submittedupdated at Deadline 2 to address7 which addressed alladdressed all errata identified.</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3, (REP3-059) and Deadline 4 (REP4-030), which presents the information requested by the JNCC regarding the HRA screening. The Applicant hasmet with theJNCC on 04 September and received subsequent feedback on 10 Septemberon several times during the course of Examination (see table 1.3 in the SoCG (S_D1_15 F03)) to discuss the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with this document, with SNCB advice (REP3-059). Further meetings with the JNCC took place on 14 October and 29 October (with NRW also in attendance at the latter meeting). The JNCC also provided written feedback to the Applicant on its Deadline 3 submissions for offshore ornithology on 24 October. In light of this, the Applicant has submitted an updatereceived from the JNCC in addition to the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4, written representations made in Examination process. In addition, the Applicant has submitted an Offshore Ornithology Apportioning Clarification Note (S_D4_10REP4-042) at Deadline 4, describing the Applicant's approach and the Offshore ornithology additional supporting in-combination assessment information in line with SNCB Advice (REP5-074) at Deadline 5 to non-breeding season apportioningrespond to specific matters.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an a finala final SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028S_D1_15 F03) which is continuing to be progressed and believeshas been updated to reflect the JNCC's position that the</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>HRA Stage 1 ISAA Part Three: Special Protection Areas and Ramsar sites Assessments</p>	<p>Applicant's ornithological submissions at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be resolved <u>is now agreed.</u></p> <p><u>AmberGreen:</u> The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.37-40 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.70-72 and REP1-066.77 in REP2-081). An updated HRA Stage 2 Information to Support an Appropriate assessment (ISAA) Part Three: Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and Ramsar Sites Assessments (REP2-010) was submitted at Deadline 2 (<u>REP2-010</u>) and <u>Deadline 7 (E1.3 F03)</u> to address <u>all</u> errata <u>identified</u>.</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059) <u>advice</u> at Deadline 3 (<u>REP3-059</u>) and <u>Deadline 4 (REP4-030)</u>, which presents the information requested by the JNCC regarding the ISAA. The Applicant <u>has</u> met with <u>the</u> JNCC on 04 September and received subsequent feedback on 10 September on several times during the course of Examination (see table 1.3 in the SoCG (S_D1_15 F03)) to discuss the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line <u>this document</u>, with SNCB advice (REP3-059). Further meetings between the Applicant and the JNCC took place on 14 October, and the Applicant, the JNCC and NRW on 29 October, which included discussion of outstanding ornithological matters. The JNCC provided written feedback on 24 October 2024 and received from the JNCC in light of this <u>addition to written representations made in Examination process. At Deadline 5</u>, the Applicant has <u>submitted a revised version of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information 'Offshore ornithology additional supporting in-combination assessment information</u> in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4. <u>advice' (REP5-074) to provide the information required by the JNCC to provide their position on adverse effect on integrity of SPAs under their jurisdiction.</u></p> <p>The Applicant notes that JNCC has agreed that there will be no adverse effect on integrity for SPAs designated for offshore</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Conclusions for the marine mammal features of the North Anglesey Marine SAC in the HRA Stage 2 ISAA Part Two: Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) Assessments</p>	<p>ornithology features for any impacts for the Mona Offshore Wind Project alone (REP1-028) <u>and in combination (REP6-135)</u>. The Applicant has submitted ana final SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) <u>S_D1_15 F03</u> at <u>Deadline 7</u> which is continuing <u>has been updated</u> to be progressed and believes <u>reflect JNCC's position</u> that the revised Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) submitted at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be resolved with respect to the in-combination assessment <u>is now agreed</u>.</p> <p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.48 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.134 and REP1-066.135 in REP2-081). In JNCCs relevant representation RR-033.48 and written representation REP1-066.134, JNCC have confirmed <u>in its response to the Report on the Implications for European Sites (RIES) (REP5-095)</u> that they agreed <u>an AEoI can be excluded for all offshore harbour porpoise designated sites in relation to all impacts, both alone and in-combination with the conclusion of no LSE to this site from piling other plans and UXO clearance projects</u>. Therefore, the Applicant considered this matter to be resolved. <u>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with JNCC (S_D1_15 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect JNCC's position that this matter has now been resolved</u></p>
RSPB Cymru	Offshore ornithology HRA and EIA methodology	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in RSPB Cymru's relevant representation (RR-071.3-12 in PDA-008). The Applicant has contacted the RSPB Cymru through the examination process and has responded to RSPB Deadline 3 submission (REP3-105) at Deadline 4. <u>The Applicant submitted Further Context to the RPSB Cymru Statement of Common Ground at Deadline 6 (REP5-089)</u>.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
NRW	Offshore ornithology screening with the HRA Stage 1 screening report	<p>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with the RSPB Cymru (S_D2_8 F02). The Applicant is unable to align their position with the RSPB Cymru on some matters.</p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW relevant representation (RR-011.13, RR-011.18-19 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.70-105 in REP2-080). An updated HRA Stage 1 Screening Report (REP2-012E1.4 F03) has also been submittedupdated at Deadline 2 to address 7 which addressed all errata identified.</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059) advice at Deadline 3 (REP3-059) and Deadline 4 (REP4-030), which presents the information requested by NRW regarding the HRA screening. The Applicant has met with the NRW on 09 September and received subsequent feedback on 18 September on several times during the course of Examination (see table 1.3 in the SoCG (S_D1_12 F03)) to discuss the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059). A further meeting between the Applicant, JNCC and this document, with written feedback received from the NRW to discuss outstanding ornithological matters also took place on 29 October in addition to written representations made in Examination process. In light of the feedback receivedaddition, the Applicant has submitted a revised version of an Offshore Ornithology Apportioning Clarification Note (REP4-042) at Deadline 4 and the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Informationornithology additional supporting in-combination assessment information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02REP5-074) at Deadline 4-5 to respond to specific matters.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing ahas submitted a final SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believesS_D1_12 F03 at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>HRA Stage 1 ISAA Part Three: Special Protection Areas and Ramsar sites Assessments</p>	<p>Advice (REP3-059) (S_D3_19 F02) at Deadline 4 will allow this issue to be closed. this matter has now been resolved</p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.20-22 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.106-113 in REP2-080REP2-080). An updated HRA Stage 2 Information to Support an Appropriate assessment (ISAA) Part Three: Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and Ramsar Sites Assessments (REP2-010) has also been was submitted at Deadline 2 (REP2-010) and Deadline 7 (E1.3 F03) to address all errata- identified.</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059) advice at Deadline 3 (REP3-059) and Deadline 4 (REP4-030), which presents the information requested by NRW regarding the ISAA. The Applicant has met with the NRW on 09 September and received subsequent feedback on 18 September on several times during the course of Examination (see table 1.3 in the SoCG (S_D1_12 F03)) to discuss the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line this document, with SNCB advice (REP3-059). A further meeting between the Applicant, JNCC and written feedback received from NRW to discuss outstanding ornithological matters also took place on 29 October. In light of the feedback received in addition to written representations made in Examination process. At Deadline 5, the Applicant has submitted a revised version of the 'Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information ornithology additional supporting in-combination assessment information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) and also an Offshore Ornithology Apportioning Clarification Note (S_D4_10) advice' (REP5-074) to provide the information required by the NRW to provide their position on adverse effect on integrity of SPAs under their jurisdiction. Revised assessment for Northern gannet at Deadline 4. The Applicant is progressing a Grassholm SPA (REP6-088) was also submitted in reference to specific concerns raised by NRW.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Offshore ornithology in-combination assessment</p>	<p><u>The Applicant notes that NRW has agreed that there will be no adverse effect on integrity for all Welsh SPAs designated for offshore ornithology features (including Grassholm SPA) for any impacts for the Mona Offshore Wind Project alone and in combination.</u></p> <p><u>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that its ornithological submissions S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 4 will allow 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter to be resolved has now agreed.</u></p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.8 and RR-011.9 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.59-69 in REP2-080/REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken a 'gap-filling' exercise in accordance with SNCBs advice. The Applicant held a meeting with the statutory nature conservation bodies on its approach and results to gap-filling historical projects for the Mona Offshore Wind Project on 29 August 2024 and received positive feedback. The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-combination Gap-filling Historical Projects Technical Note (REP3-044) at Deadline 3. Following further engagement with NRW on 29 October, a revised version of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02/REP4-030) has been submitted at Deadline 4 to include an in-combination assessment, which also takes into consideration the gap-filled projects and gives greater clarity to the Applicant's assessment approach (e.g. to apportioning).</p> <p><u>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that At Deadline 5, the Applicant submitted 'Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information ornithology additional supporting in-combination assessment information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02) advice' (REP5-074) to provide the information required by NRW to provide their position on adverse effect on integrity of SPAs.</u></p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>In response to ExQ 1.10.3 (REP3-093), NRW raised they consider that there may be a potential for an in-combination contribution to LSE on marine mammals for vessel collision</p>	<p>An updated HRA Stage 2 Information to Support an Appropriate assessment (ISAA) Part Three: Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and Ramsar Sites Assessments has been submitted at Deadline 47 (E1.3 F03) alongside a new annex, E1.3.1 Offshore ornithology ISAA Supporting Information (E1.3.1) to incorporate the indicative estimates for the gap fill projects within the in-combination assessments. The Applicant notes that NRW has agreed that there will allow be no adverse effect on integrity for all Welsh SPAs designated for offshore ornithology features for any impacts for the Mona Offshore Wind Project alone and in combination.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter to be resolved is now agreed.</p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in response to ExQ 1.10.3 (REP3-093) in the Applicant's response to NRW's response to ExQ Q1.10.3 (S_D4_33). The Applicant notes that the Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) between Mona Offshore Wind Project and NRW (A) submitted at Deadline 4 (Initial SOCG between Mona and NRW(A) – Offshore (REP1-025)) confirms that NRW (A) are in agreement with the screening of Likely Significant Effects (LSE) on European sites for marine mammals (see NRW.HRA.22, NRA.HRA.23, NRW.HRA.26). Furthermore, NRW agreed with the overall conclusions of the of the ISAA in combination with other plans and projects notwithstanding any written representations raised that are currently ongoing points of discussion (NRW.HRA.29 in REP1-025). In NRW's response to the RIES at Deadline 5 (REP5-099) they confirmed 'we are in agreement with the Applicant, and that we do not consider there to be any in-combination LSEs to marine mammals'</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes the issue is capable of resolution S_D1_12 F03 at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter is now agreed.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
11 – Historic environment		
<p>Royal Commission Ancient and Historical Monuments of Wales (RCAHMW)</p> <p>Cadw</p>	<p>RCAHMW raised queries regarding the location of the Outline Offshore Written Scheme of Investigation and Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries in their relevant representation (RR-070).</p> <p>Through their Written Representation (REP1-073), RCAHMW have confirmed they have now reviewed the documents in question and have provided suggested updates.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-070.1) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-073.2 and 3).</p> <p>The Outline Offshore Written Scheme of Investigation and Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries (REP2-032) has been updated and resubmitted at Deadline 2 in accordance with RCAHMW's written representation comments.</p> <p>RCAHMW confirmed by email on 04 November 2024 that the updates to the Outline Offshore Written Scheme of Investigation and Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries (REP2-032) align with their suggested updates.</p>
<p>Heneb: Gwynedd Archaeology and Heneb: Clwyd-Powys Archaeology</p>	<p>Through their written representation (appended to the Local Impact Report (REP1-049)), Heneb have advised of updates required to Outline Onshore Written Scheme of Investigation, Outline Code of Construction Practice and Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.159 to REP1-049.164).</p> <p>The Applicant has completed the programme of archaeological evaluation and is updating submitted the results at Deadline 6 (REP6-086) and has updated the Outline Onshore Written Scheme of Investigation accordingly based on these results and will submit the updated Outline Written Scheme of Investigation into the examination at an appropriate deadline (REP6-032).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing ahas agreed all matters in the SoCG with Heneb: Gwynedd Archaeology and Heneb: Clwyd-Powys Archaeology (REP1-035) and believes this matter will be resolved through this process.REP6-075.</p>
<p>Cadw</p>	<p>Through their written representation (REP1-051), Cadw have highlighted the need for further archaeological evaluation to be completed in order for a programme of post-consent archeological work to be agreed.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, REP1-052.23 to REP1-052.33).</p> <p>The Applicant has completed the programme of archaeological evaluation and is updating submitted the results at Deadline 6 (REP6-086) and has updated the Outline Onshore Written Scheme of Investigation accordingly based on these results and</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>will submit the updated Outline Written Scheme of Investigation into the examination at an appropriate deadline (REP6-032).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a <u>has agreed all matters in the SoCG with Cadw (REP1-034) and believes this matter will be resolved through this process (REP6-074).</u></p>
CCBC	CCBC raised the need for further assessment of impacts on Kinmel Park Registered Historic Park and Garden through their relevant representation (RR-009).	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.10).</p> <p>No further comments have been made by CCBC regarding Kinmel Park Registered Historic Park and Gardens in the Local Impact Report and they have confirmed in section 3.9.3 of the Local Impact Report that “<i>there are no significant concerns arising in relation to heritage and archaeology</i>” (REP1-049). Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter closed.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) will capture <u>S_D3_23 F04, section 1.4.8) captures</u> this agreement through this process.</p>
DCC	Within the Local Impact Report (REP1-049), DCC have raised heritage as a key area of focus.	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to all issues raised in the Local Impact Report (REP2-092).</p> <p>The Local Impact Report states in section 3.9.3 that “<i>there are no significant concerns arising in relation to heritage and archaeology</i>” (REP1-049). Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter closed.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) will capture <u>S_D3_22 F04, section 1.4.8) captures</u> this agreement through this process.</p>
12 – Land use		
CCBC	Within the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) CCBC have requested further information to be provided in the Outline Public Rights of Way Management Strategy (APP-229).	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.68).</p> <p>CCBC have agreed that the measures outlined within the Outline Public Rights of Way Management Strategy (REP2-070) provide an appropriate level of detail.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
DCC	Within the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) DCC have requested further information to be provided in the Outline Public Rights of Way Management Strategy (APP-229).	<p>This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and CCBC (REP3-061, paragraph CCBC.TT.15).</p> <p>Green: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.68).</p> <p>DCC have agreed that the measures outlined within the Outline Public Rights of Way Management Strategy (REP2-070) provide an appropriate level of detail.</p> <p>This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and DCC (REP3-060, paragraph DCC.TT.15).</p>
Welsh Government	Welsh Government, through their written representation (REP1-050), have requested clarification regarding the Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) field survey results.	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, paragraphs REP1-052.19 and REP1-052.20).</p> <p>A technical meeting was held on 2 October 2024 to discuss the ACL survey results. The Applicant has agreed to update <u>the subsequently provided an updated</u> Soil Survey Data Technical Report (APP-168 <u>REP5-014</u>) to provide the required clarifications. The Welsh Government has agreed to review <u>Government's Department of Climate Change and provide an update to its Written Representation at the appropriate deadline. The Applicant believes Rural Affairs validated this matter will be resolved through this process. report on 15th November 2024.</u></p>
	Welsh Government, through their written representation (REP1-050), have raised concerns over the assessment methodology used in the Land Use and Recreation chapter to assess impacts to agricultural land.	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, paragraphs REP1-052.19 and REP1-052.20).</p> <p>The Applicant is committed to engaging with Welsh Government throughout the examination. Welsh Government confirmed in its response to the Examining Authority's Written Questions (REP5-090) that there are no remaining open issues regarding land use, or areas of disagreement between the parties, therefore this matter is considered closed.</p>
	Welsh Government, through their written representation (REP1-050), have requested updates to the Outline Soil Management Plan.	<p>The Applicant is committed to engaging with Welsh Government throughout the examination. Welsh Government confirmed in its response to the Examining Authority's Written Questions (REP5-090) that there are no remaining open issues regarding land use, or areas of disagreement between the parties, therefore this matter is considered closed.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Mr & Mrs J T Owen Michael and Sally Leach Martyn Hussey Margaret Hussey DMPC Jennings Building & Civil Engineering Limited Mr AEM Owen & A Owen Cyf Mr EW Roberts Mr G & Mrs M Williams Iwan Roberts Mr H & Mrs C Lloyd Mr RW Roberts The Executors of the Late Sir David Watkin Williams-Wynn. Bt. The Revd Canon Brian Mayne National Farmers Union Cefn Meiriadog Community Council Davis Meade Property Consultants Harriet Mary Parry Robert Wynne Parry Griffiths Wynne Parry Elizabeth Wynne Wade Lloyd Evans & Sons</p>	<p>Concerns regarding impacts of loss of Best and Most Versatile Land, impacts to current agricultural businesses, the proposed soil management and mitigation measures to be applied and changes to agricultural communities have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
<p>13 – Landscape and visual and good design</p>		
<p>NRW</p>	<p>NRW requested cumulative visualisations showing both the proposed substation and other Tier 1 developments (e.g. Awel y Môr substation) through their relevant representation (RR-011).</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.104) and written</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>This request has been repeated in NRW's written representation (REP1-056).</p>	<p>representations (REP2-080 paragraphs REP1-056.227 and REP1-056.231).</p> <p>The Applicant has provided cumulative visualisations at Deadline 3 (REP3-047, REP3-048, AS-027) and paper copies were provided to NRW on Monday 14th October. The Applicant believes this will resolve NRW's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP1-026) to reflect this. <u>The Applicant and NRW are in agreement that there will be no significant cumulative effects on the character of the Clwydian Range and Dee Valley National Landscape and users of the Offa's Dyke Path National Trail (S_D1_14). Therefore the Applicant considers this matter closed.</u></p>
	<p>NRW raised queries regarding the onshore photomontages through their written representation (REP1-056).</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-080, paragraph REP1-056.230).</p> <p>The Applicant provided cumulative visualisations of the onshore substation at Deadline 3. Following a meeting with NRW on 8 October, the visualisations were updated to correct pixelation issues and were resubmitted (REP3-047, REP3-048 and (S_D3_16.3). The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-027) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process. <u>The Applicant and NRW are in agreement that there will be no significant cumulative effects on the character of the Clwydian Range and Dee Valley National Landscape and users of the Offa's Dyke Path National Trail (S_D1_14). Therefore the Applicant considers this matter closed.</u></p>
<p>CCBC</p>	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the methodology used to assess landscape and visual resources impacts.</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31).</p> <p>The LVIA methodology was discussed in a meeting with the CCBC landscape consultant on Friday 11th October. However, the Applicant and CCBC have not been able to reach an agreement on this issue. Further detail can be found in the SoCG with CCBC (S_D3_23 F04, section 1.4.9)</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the assessment of cumulative effects and proposed mitigation.</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant and CCBC have not been able to reach an agreement on this issue. Further detail can be found in the SoCG with CCBC (S_D3_23_F04_section_1.4.9)</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests clarification on if construction will take place during hours of darkness and if this has been assessed.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant provided a Lighting Clarification Note (REP4-043) at Deadline 4. CCBC have confirmed that the lighting as described may not give rise to landscape or visual effects and that appropriate mitigation and monitoring measures can be agreed as part of the discharge of conditions. The SoCG with CCBC has been updated to reflect this position (S_D3_23_F04, paragraph CCBC.LVR.16)</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further commitments on timescale for management of mitigation post construction.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant will be providingprovided an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 (REP5-034) to clarify the position on long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures. The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common GroundSoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes these issues are capable of resolution throughS_D3_23_F04, paragraph CCBC.LVR.17) has been updated to reflect the agreement on this process-issue.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the survey data collected as part of the Arboriculture Impact Assessment and the mitigations proposed.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant has provided a Tree Survey Clarification Note at Deadline 3 (REP3-049). The Applicant believes this will resolve CCBC's remaining comments</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>CCBC have confirmed that the level of accuracy and will update data captured is acceptable, the SoCG (REP3-061) with CCBC (S_D3_23 F04, paragraph CCBC.ARB.4) has been updated to reflect this position.</p>
DCC	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the methodology used to assess landscape and visual resources impacts.</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The LVIA methodology was discussed in a meeting with the DCC landscape consultant on Friday 11th October. However, the Applicant and CCBC have not been able to reach an agreement on this issue. Further detail can be found in the SoCG with CCBC (S_D3_22 F04, section 1.4.9)</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests an assessment of impacts to Denbighshire Memorial Park and Crematorium.</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant has provided additional annotated photography from the Denbighshire Memorial Park and Crematorium at Deadline 4 (S_D4_13). DCC have confirmed that the scope of these surveys was appropriate. However, the parties disagree on the magnitude of effect on the Denbighshire Memorial Park and Crematorium. The SoCG with DCC (S_D3_22 F04, paragraphs DCC.LVI.5 and DCC.LVI.13) has been updated to reflect this position.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the assessment of cumulative effects and proposed mitigation.</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). During a meeting on Friday 11th October with the DCC landscape consultant it was demonstrated that the Mona Onshore Substation would be barely visible from the representative viewpoint for the North Wales' Pilgrims Way (VP6) and that the potential for cumulative effects on the users of the North Wales' Pilgrims Way would be limited. However, the Applicant and DCC have not been able to reach an agreement on the assessment of cumulative landscape effects.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>Further detail can be found in the SoCG with DCC (S_D3_22 F04, section 1.4.9)</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests clarification on if construction will take place during hours of darkness and if this has been assessed.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to Local Impact Report (paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant has provided a lighting clarification note Lighting Clarification Note (REP4-043) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_12); DCC have confirmed that the lighting as described may not give rise to landscape or visual effects and that appropriate mitigation and monitoring measures can be agreed as part of the discharge of conditions. The Applicant believes this will resolve DCC's remaining comments and will update the SoCG (REP3-060) with DCC has been updated to reflect this position (S_D3_22 F04, paragraph DCC.LVI.17).</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further commitments on timescale for management of mitigation post construction.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant will be providing provided an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 (REP5-034) to clarify the position on long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures. The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common Ground with DCC (REP3-060) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. The SoCG with CCBC (S_D3_22 F04, paragraph CCBC.LV1.18) has been updated to reflect the agreement on this issue.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns regarding the survey data collected as part of the Arboriculture Impact Assessment and the mitigations proposed.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (paragraphs REP1-049.5 to REP1-049.31). The Applicant has provided a Tree Survey Clarification Note at Deadline 3 (REP3-049). The Applicant believes this will resolve DCC's remaining comments DCC have confirmed, that the level of accuracy and will update data captured is acceptable, the SoCG (REP3-060) with</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
Welsh Government	In their written representation (REP1-050), raises concerns regarding the Arboriculture Impact Assessment and the mitigations proposed.	<p>DCC (S_D3_22 F04, paragraph DCC.ARB.4) has been updated to reflect this position.</p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, paragraphs REP1-052.15 to REP1-052.17).</p> <p>The Applicant is committed to engaging with Welsh Government throughout the examination. Welsh Government confirmed in its response to the Examining Authority's Written Questions (REP5-090) that there are no remaining open issues regarding arboriculture, or areas of disagreement between the parties, therefore this matter is considered closed.</p>
Commission for Wales Mr & Mrs J T Owen Michael and Sally Leach Martyn Hussey Margaret Hussey The Executors of the Late Sir David Watkin Williams-Wynn. Bt. Ann Conway Cefn Meiriadog Community Council Lois Williams	Concerns regarding the baseline characterisation, assessment methodology, assessment conclusions and adequacy of mitigation have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
14 – Marine physical processes and coastal change		
NRW	Placement of cable protection in the shallow nearshore environment.	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW relevant representation (RR-011.51 and RR-011.53 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.13 and REP1-056.182 in REP2-080).</p> <p>It is not the Applicant's intention to place cable protection in shallow water and will seek to avoid this if at all possible. The Applicant is committed to ensuring that no more than a 5% reduction in water depth (referenced to Chart Datum) will occur</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>at any point along the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor without prior written approval from NRW-MLT in consultation with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA)). This will ensure that any cable protection is sufficiently low profile to cause minimal changes to wave, tide and sediment transport. This was assessed in Volume 2, Chapter 1: Physical Processes (APP-053) as not significant. In the event any cable protection exceeds 5% of navigable depth referenced to Chart Datum in the shallow nearshore area, NRW will also be a named consultee with regards to agreeing a suitable alternative position. This commitment is set out in the Mitigation and Monitoring Schedule (J10 F07) and is expected to be secured in the standalone NRW ML. NRW confirmed in their Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) that 'we are satisfied that there should be no significant impacts to the physical processes in the shallow nearshore environment.' Therefore, the Applicant considers this issue to be resolved.</p>
	Cable protection on Constable Bank	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.50 in PDA-008) and NRW confirmed that this issue was closed in REP1-056.179 of their written representation (REP1-056) therefore the Applicant considers this issue to be resolved.</p>
	Landfall beach profile changes and erosion	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.52 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.183 in REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRW's Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (REP3-090.242 in S_D4_16REP4-047).</p> <p>The Applicant has made a commitment to trenchless techniques in the intertidal area. Further detailed onshore and offshore geotechnical investigations will be conducted at the landfall to assess the suitability of the ground in relation to the trenchless technique that is to be adopted. This will include consideration of the natural envelope of beach profile change over time to inform the final detailed design of the duct profile to avoid the risk of</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>cable exposure. This information has been included in the updated Landfall Construction Method Statement (J26.14 F03REP5-045) submitted at Deadline 4-5. <u>This was welcomed in paragraph 73 of NRWs Deadline 5 submission (REP5-098).</u></p> <p>The Applicant is progressing <u>has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes the issue is capable of resolution. S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter has now been resolved.</u></p>
<p>15 – Navigation and shipping</p>		
<p>Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure) <u>Isle of Man Steam Packet Company</u></p>	<p>The Territorial Sea Committee's raised concerns relating to project alone and cumulative effects leading to potential disruption or economic loss to strategic passenger and freight routes and lifeline ferry services serving the Isle of Man in their relevant representation (RR-018).</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008 paragraph RR-018.4) and a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee <u>has been progressed, with the final version submitted at Deadline 7 (S_D1_11 F04). In addition, an SoCG between the Applicant and the Isle of Man Steam Packet Company (IoMSPC), the operator of lifeline ferry services serving the Isle of Man, has been progressed from Deadline 5 onward, with the final version submitted at Deadline 7 (S_D5_30 F02).</u></p> <p><u>With the Territorial Sea Committee, all</u> discussion points on shipping and navigation have been agreed, <u>including</u> Isle of Man Steam Packet Company potential significant effects on lifeline ferry services and potential allision and collision risk hazards between the Morgan Array Area and Moor Vannin Scoping Boundary.</p> <p><u>Commercial negotiations on a commercial side agreement between the Applicant and the IoMSPC are ongoing, as detailed in the final SoCG (S_D5_30 F02). The parties are committed to continuing engagement on the ferry mitigation agreement as a priority and will provide an update to the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero at the appropriate time.</u></p> <p><u>Owing to engagement on the commercial side agreement with the IoMSPC not being completed at the end of Examination, socio-economic agreement statements within the Territorial Seas</u></p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Stena Line Ltd CLdN RoRo Ltd Maritime and Coastguard Agency UK Chamber of Shipping Isle of Man Steam Packet Company</p>	<p>Potential project alone and cumulative effects on navigational impacts to shipping routes, including adverse weather routeing, as highlighted in relevant representations (RR-076, RR-008, and RR-039).</p>	<p>Committee SoCG submitted at Deadline 7 (S_D1_11 F04) relating to the IoMSPC commercial side agreement remain 'not agreed' until such time that the agreements are completed.</p> <p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008 paragraph RR-076.1, RR-008.1, RR-039.1 and RR-039.2).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Maritime and Coastguard Agency (REP3-026). All items are outcomes of the impact assessment have been agreed with the exception of MCA.SAN.33 which will remain under review until the last Deadline whilst UK Chamber of Shipping, Isle of Man Steam Packet Company and Stena Line as reflected in the MCA review any changes to SoCGs between the draft DCO.</p> <p>The Applicant has exchanged correspondence parties (S_D1_16 F03, S_D1_18 F04, S_D5_30 F02) and met with Stena Line on multiple times since submission (meetings: 1 March 2024, 4 July 2024 and 18 October 2024): REP5-078).</p> <p>Engagement on these residual effects commercial side agreements to offset the moderate adverse impacts on Stena Line and IoMSPC operations arising as a result of vessels having to re-route so as to avoid the Mona project is ongoing, as set out in the final SoCG with IoMSPC (S_D5_30 F02) and a further meeting is planned for 8 November 2024. final position statement with Stena Line (S_D7_32) and detailed in the Commercial Side Agreements Tracker (S_D1_24 F02)).</p> <p>The Applicant has reached out to CLdN RoRo Ltd on several occasions since application submission to notify them of the Examination progress and seek to discuss any outstanding residual concerns but has not received a response since 01 March 2024. The Applicant also notified CLdN RoRo Ltd of ExQ1.15.2 on 16 September 2024 but has not received a response as of 30 September 2024. In the absence of further communication from CLdN RoRo Ltd, the Applicant considers that they do not have outstanding concerns on this matter.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG withTherefore, the Applicant's position is that the outcomes of the impact assessment is agreed with MCA, UK Chamber of Shipping (REP3-028). All items related to shipping, <u>Stena Line Limited</u> and navigation are agreed with the exception of CoS.SAN.21b as relates to the possible need for additional towage in the Irish Sea. Further <u>IoMSPC but</u> engagement with the UK Chamber of Shipping will continue through the Examination.</p> <p>The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution on commercial side agreements with <u>Stena Line Limited and IoMSPC</u> are ongoing.</p>
<p>Maritime and Coastguard Agency Corporation of Trinity House of Deptford Strond UK Chamber of Shipping</p>	<p>Potential impacts on navigational safety (RR-010, RR-039 and REP1-068).</p>	<p>GreenBeige: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-010.1 to 2 and RR-039.1 to 2) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-068.1 to REP1-068.25).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing<u>has progressed</u> a SoCG with Maritime and Coastguard Agency (REP3-026). All S_D1_16 F03 and all items are<u>have been</u> agreed <u>between the parties, including confirmation that the MCA agree</u> with the exception of MCA.SAN.33 which will remain under review until the last Deadline whilst the MCA review any changes<u>updates</u> to the draft DCO.</p> <p>The Maritime and Coastguard Agency has agreed in their written representation (REP1-068), SoCG (REP3-026) and responses to ExQ1 (REP3-087) that unacceptable risks identified in their s42 response have been reduced to "Medium Risk – Tolerable if ALARP".</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Corporation of Trinity House (REP3-017). All S_D1_17 F03 and all items are<u>have been</u> agreed <u>with</u> between the exception of TH.SAN.30 as the Corporation of<u>parties, including confirmation that</u> Trinity House wish to remain engaged<u>agree</u> with any further<u>final</u> revisions of the draft DCO.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the UK Chamber of Shipping (REP3-028S_D1_18 F04). All items related to shipping and navigation are agreed with the exception of CoS.SAN.21b as relates to the possible need for additional towage in the Irish Sea. Further engagement with the UK Chamber of Shipping will continue through the Examination <u>In response to these concerns, the Applicant has updated the VTMP to commit to analysis of towage capability of project vessels at Deadline 6 (REP6-028). The Chamber of Shipping have reviewed these updates but still consider this to be an ongoing point of discussion until the emergency towage analysis has been undertaken post-consent. However, they recognise that this matter does not preclude consent.</u></p> <p>Therefore, the Applicant considers this issue to be resolved <u>agreed with the MCA and Trinity House, and not agreed but not material with the UK Chamber of Shipping.</u></p>
<p>Maritime and Coastguard Agency CLdN RoRo Ltd</p>	<p>The Maritime and Coastguard Agency seek assurance that the project is not at the detriment to the provision of search and rescue and other emergency response in their relevant representation (RR-039) and provided detailed comment in their written representation (REP1-068). CLdN RoRo Ltd noted potential increased response time to a marine casualty in their relevant representation (RR-008).</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008 paragraphs RR-008.1 and RR-039.1 to 2) and written representations (REP2-078 REP1-068.6 to 10). The Applicant is progressing <u>has progressed</u> a SoCG with Maritime and Coastguard Agency (REP3-026). All <u>, within which all items are have been agreed with the exception of MCA.SAN.33 which will remain under review until the last Deadline whilst the MCA review any changes to the draft DCO.</u></p> <p>The MCA has agreed that Mona Offshore Wind Project assessment of search and rescue is consistent with MGN654 subject to the agreement of post-consent plans with the MCA as secured within Schedule 14 of the draft DCO.</p> <p>In the MCA's response to ExQ1 (REP3-087) they noted a concern regarding the impact of micrositing principles of 125 m on search and rescue. The Applicant is undertaking <u>has undertaken</u> further refinement of the design of the Mona Offshore Wind Project in order to address these concerns <u>and has committed to reducing micrositing to 55 m, which has been</u></p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>updated in Volume 1, Chapter 3: Project description (F1.3 F02) at the close of Examination.</p> <p>The Applicant has reached out to CLdN RoRo Ltd since submission to notify them of the Examination progress and seek to discuss any outstanding residual concerns but has not received a response since 01 March 2024. The Applicant also notified CLdN RoRo Ltd of ExQ1.15.2 on 16 September 2024 but has not received a response as of 30 September 2024 the close of Examination and therefore considers CLdN RoRo Ltd do not have any outstanding concerns on this matter.</p> <p>The Applicant believes therefore considers the issue is capable of resolution agreed between the parties.</p>
Eni UK	The need for engagement to ensure co-existence between Eni UK and Mona Offshore Wind Project activities, due to overlap in vessel traffic, surveys and diving activities was highlighted in Eni UK's relevant representation (RR-019).	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-019.3 and RR-019.5) and is progressing has progressed a SoCG with Eni UK (REP3-032) S_D1_30 F03 in which addresses all matters relating to shipping and navigation matters. Eni UK are in the process of reviewing Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and navigation (APP-059) and Volume 6, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-098) and the have been agreed. The Applicant anticipates that Eni UK will provide feedback during further planned SoCG meetings.</p> <p>The parties will provide updates through subsequent SoCG submissions. The Applicant believes considers the issue is capable of resolution agreed.</p>
Walney Extension Limited Walney (UK) Offshore Windfarms Limited Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited (Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited) Morecambe Wind Limited Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited	The need for engagement to ensure co-existence with other operational and planned offshore wind farms in relation to shipping and navigation matters was highlighted in relevant representations (RR-004, RR-007, RR-018, RR-045, RR-047, RR-087, RR-088, RR-090). Further comments regarding co-existence were provided in the Orsted Ørsted IPs written representation (REP1-072).	<p>AmberBeige: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-004.5, RR-007.5, RR-018.4, RR-045.7, RR-047.5, RR-087.5, RR-088.5, RR-090.6) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-072.8 and REP1-072.9). The Applicant has responded to Ørsted IPs Deadline 2 Submission at Deadline 3 (REP3-042), to their Deadline 3 submission at Deadline 4 (REP4-079) and to their Deadline 5 submission at Deadline 6 (REP6-117). SoCGs have been progressed with the Territorial Sea Committee and Ørsted</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Territorial Sea Committee Burbo Extension Ltd Barrow Offshore Wind Limited Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited OrstedOrsted IPs</p>		<p>IPs, with final versions submitted at Deadline 7 (S_D1_11 F04 and S_D6_48 F02)</p> <p>Other offshore wind farm operators and developers have been consulted pre-application stage through the marine navigation engagement forum and hazard workshops and the Applicant will continuehas continued to engage with offshore wind farm operators and developers throughout the Examination. The Applicant has submitted an updated the Outline Vessel Traffic Management Plan at Deadline 3 (REP3-018(J14 F04) and Mitigation and Monitoring Schedule (REP3-012) that commits J10 F07) to commit to continued engagement with the Marine Navigation Engagement Forum post consent for a minimum of five years into the operations and maintenance period, as well as to specify key documents which will be shared with other sea users through the forum.</p> <p>The Applicant believes the issue is capable of resolution. The Orsted IPs are seeking to be specifically named in the VTMP however the Applicant's position is that this is unnecessarily prescriptive and maintains that appropriate commitment to engagement with all stakeholders is already made in the Outline VTMP (REP6-028) to "existing users of the relevant sea area", which would include the Orsted IPs.</p> <p>Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited and Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Limited have not provided further comment on this matter during Examination and therefore the Applicant considers they do not have any outstanding concerns on this matter.</p> <p>All matters relating to shipping and navigation have been agreed between the Applicant and The Territorial Sea Committee in the final SoCG (S_D1_11 F04).</p> <p>The Applicant considers that the issue is agreed with all parties except and the specific point of disagreement with the Orsted IPs on the VTMP, which it does not consider to be material.</p>

16 – Noise and vibration

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
CCBC	<p>CCBC raised the need for mitigation measures for noise through their relevant representation (RR-009).</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) states that the mitigation measures described in the application are appropriate, however, early engagement with local communities is requested.</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further detail on operational mitigation measures.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.86 to REP1-049.99).</p> <p>CCBC has agreed through the SoCG (REP3-061, CCBC.NV.18) that the mitigation measures incorporated as part of the project are appropriate.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns around the assessment of construction vibration.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.88).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through S_D3_23 F04 has been updated to reflect CCBC's position that this process matter has now been resolved.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns around the lack of assessment of impacts on soundscapes in line with the Environment (Air Quality and Soundscapes) (Wales) Act 2024.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.88).</p> <p>The Examining Authority has requested that CCBC confirm if they consider that a soundscape assessment is required from the Applicant or is the information already provided by the Applicant sufficient (EV5-006). The Applicant awaits CCBC's response. CCBC confirmed in its Written Summary of Oral Case made at Hearings and Response to Hearing Action Points (REP4-096) that no further information in respect of a Soundscape Assessment is required.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) notes that the cumulative effects assessment has not considered noise and vibrations from construction traffic.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.97).</p> <p>CCBC has agreed through the SoCG (REP3-061, CCBC.NV.17) that the assessment of effects from the project cumulatively with other projects is appropriate.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
DCC	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) states that the mitigation measures described in the application are appropriate, however, early engagement with local communities is requested.</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further detail on operational mitigation measures.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.88).</p> <p>DCC has agreed through the SoCG (REP3-060, DCC.NV.18) that the mitigation measures incorporated as part of the project are appropriate.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns around the assessment of construction vibration.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.86 to REP1-049.99). The Applicant is progressing a Statement of Common Ground with DCC (REP3-060) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. The SoCG with CCBC (S_D3_22 F04) has been updated to reflect CCBC's position that this matter has now been resolved.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises concerns around the lack of assessment of impacts on soundscapes in line with the Environment (Air Quality and Soundscapes) (Wales) Act 2024.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.88).</p> <p>The Examining Authority has requested that DCC confirm if they consider that a soundscape assessment is required from the Applicant or is the information already provided by the Applicant sufficient (EV5-006). The Applicant awaits DCC's response. DCC confirmed in its Written Summary of Oral Case made at Hearings and Response to Hearing Action Points (REP4-096) that no further information in respect of a Soundscape Assessment is required.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) notes that the cumulative effects assessment has not considered noise and vibrations from construction traffic.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-099.7) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraph REP1-049.97).</p> <p>DCC has agreed through the SoCG (REP3-060, DCC.NV.16) that the assessment of effects from the project cumulatively with other projects is appropriate.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
Welsh Government	In their written representation (REP1-050), Welsh Government raise concerns regarding the cumulative impact of noise on the local community at the onshore substation and how this will be mitigated.	<p>Amber:Green: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, paragraph REP1-052.25).</p> <p>The Applicant is committed to engaging with Welsh Government throughout the examination. Welsh Government confirmed in its response to the Examining Authority's Written Questions (REP5-090) that there are no remaining open issues regarding noise, or areas of disagreement between the parties, therefore this matter is considered closed.</p>
Mr & Mrs J T Owen Michael and Sally Leach Martyn Hussey Margaret Hussey	Concerns regarding impacts of noise on local residents and businesses, baseline data collection and assessment methodologies have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
Martyn Hussey Margaret Hussey	Mr and MRs Hussey have raised concerns around the assessment of construction noise and vibration.	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011, REP2-078, REP4-071, REP6-121, REP6-122). Following discussions through examination the Applicant has updated the Noise and Vibration Chapter (REP5-010) and committed to additional noise mitigation within the Outline Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (REP6-040).</p>
17 – Offshore biodiversity, ecology and natural environment		
NRW	Impact on cod spawning grounds	<p>Amber:Beige The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRWs relevant representation (RR-011.41 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.165 to REP1-056.168 in REP2-080REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRWs Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_16REP4-047).</p> <p>NRWs concern is over the percentage of the cod spawning area that may be impacted by underwater sound from the Mona Offshore Wind Project alone. However, there are multiple factors taken into account when assessing the significance of the overall impact on cod. The degree of overlap with mapped spawning grounds is not used to underpin the assessment but is</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>considered to support expert judgement alongside other parameters.</p> <p>The Applicant is carefully considering NRW (A)'s Deadline 3 submissions with respect of project alone impacts on cod and would like to engage with NRW (A) further before providing a more detailed response. A technical meeting with NRW(A) is scheduled for was held on 8 November 2024 with NRW (A) and the Applicant anticipates this matter being discussed then. An update on these discussions will be updated the outline Underwater Sound Management Strategy (UWSMS) (REP5-029) for Deadline 5 to provide further consideration of mitigation for cod to address NRW (A)'s comments. The Applicant has submitted for examination at Deadline 5.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a final SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes the issue is capable of resolution S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter is not agreed but not material as it will be managed through the UWSMS.</p>
	<p>Appropriateness of the Underwater Sound Management Strategy to secure the reduction of the magnitude of impacts to an acceptable level.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW relevant representation (RR-011.31 for marine mammals and RR-011.43 for fish in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.140 to REP1-056.143 and REP1-056.170 to REP1-056.173 in REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant welcomes confirmation from NRW regarding the appropriateness of the UWSMS to secure the reduction of the magnitude of impacts to an acceptable level. The Applicant acknowledges that NRW have advised that they would like to see further detail in the strategy and welcomes specific recommendations from NRW. The Applicant acknowledges NRW's request for continued engagement with them in developing the strategy and confirms they will continue to engage regarding the UWSMS.</p>
	<p>Fish ecology monitoring</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW relevant representation (RR-011.45 and RR-011.46 in</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Placement of cable protection in the shallow nearshore environment.</p>	<p>PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.175 in REP2-080REP2-080).</p> <p>NRW have confirmed in their written representation that they understand and acknowledge the Applications position on monitoring and have no further comments on future monitoring therefore the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p> <p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.58 and RR-011.59 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.17 and REP1-056.190 in REP2-080REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRW's Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_16REP4-047).</p> <p>It is not the Applicant's intention to place cable protection in shallow water and will seek to avoid this if at all possible. The Applicant is committed to ensuring that no more than a 5% reduction in water depth (referenced to Chart Datum) will occur at any point along the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor without prior written approval from NRW-MLT in consultation with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA)). This will ensure that any cable protection is sufficiently low profile to cause minimal changes to wave, tide and sediment transport. The impacts on benthic ecology from cable protection are considered in section 2.9 of Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology (APP-054) and were concluded to be not significant. In the event any cable protection exceeds 5% of navigable depth referenced to Chart Datum in the shallow nearshore area, NRW will also be a named consultee with regards to agreeing a suitable alternative position. This commitment is set out in the Mitigation and Monitoring Schedule (J10 F07) and is expected to be secured in the standalone NRW ML. NRW confirmed in their Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) that we are satisfied that there should be no significant impacts to benthic and intertidal ecology in the shallow nearshore environment. Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	Sandwave recovery monitoring on Constable Bank	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW relevant representation (RR-011.54 and RR-011.60 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.19 and REP1-056.190 in REP2-080REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRW Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_16REP4-047).</p> <p>The Applicant maintains that no significant effects were predicted with the EIA, and therefore, no further monitoring is considered to be required to test the predictions of the EIA. The Applicant has already included a commitment to pre- and post-construction geomorphological surveys in Condition 24(4) and 26(3) of Schedule 14 of the draft DCO (REP2-006), and this is also expected to be secured within the standalone NRW Marine Licence. This data would be collected for the purpose of observing the effect of sediment transport and sediment transport pathways on cable burial. The Applicant confirms that the hydrographic and side scan sonar surveys already committed to and the relevant data gathered will be considered in the context of sandwave recovery, particularly in relation to the Constable Bank, for information purposes. <u>The pre- and post-construction geomorphological surveys have been added to the Offshore In-Principle Monitoring Plan at Deadline 5 (REP5-027).</u></p> <p>The Applicant is progressinghas submitted a final SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes the issue is capable of resolution<u>S_D1_12 F03</u> at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter is resolved.</p>
	Waterbodies screened into the Water Framework Directive Coastal Waters Assessment	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW relevant representation (RR-011.67 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.25 in REP2-080REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant has confirmed that water body screening was undertaken in line with guidance, numerical modelling and in accordance with the Mona EIA scoping opinion (APP-194). NRW written representation confirmed that this matter is</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Zone of Influence used for the Water Framework Directive Coastal Waters Assessment</p>	<p>resolved (REP1-056.25). Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.69 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.203 in REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant notes NRW's agreement that the conclusions of the assessment would not be altered by the application of a ZOI that aligns with the ZOI assessed for consideration under the Habitats Regulations (REP1-056.203 in REP2-080). For completeness, the Applicant submitted the WFD Coastal Waters Assessment Supporting Information technical note (REP3-046) at Deadline 3 that provides further information.</p> <p>At Deadline 4, NRW confirmed they had no further concerns or queries regarding assessment of WFD compliance (see NRW Comments on Submissions received at Deadline 3 REP4-105).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes S_D1_12 F03 at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that the WFD Coastal Waters Assessment Supporting Information technical note (REP3-046) at Deadline 3 will allow this matter to be resolved.</p>
	<p>Assessment of biological quality elements of the Water Framework Directive Coastal Waters Assessment</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.75 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.206 in REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant maintains that a full assessment of the Mona Offshore Wind Project for compliance with the WFD with respect to relevant high sensitivity WFD habitats and low sensitivity WFD habitats has been undertaken.</p> <p>At Deadline 4, NRW confirmed they had no further concerns or queries regarding assessment of WFD compliance (see NRW Comments on Submissions received at Deadline 3 REP4-105).</p> <p>The Applicant has responded submitted a final SoCG with NRW (S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>NRW's comments 151-155 of document REP3-090 at Deadline 4 and believes<u>position</u> that this will allow this matter to be<u>is</u> resolved.</p>
	<p>Assessment of injury and disturbance to marine mammals from elevated underwater sound due to vessel use and other (non-piling) sound producing activities</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.27 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.118 to REP1-056.123 in REP2-080).</p> <p>NRW has confirmed that most of the impacts would be mitigated through the Offshore Environmental Management Plan (EMP) which includes measures to minimise disturbance to marine mammals and rafting birds (APP-203) and that the overall conclusion (of low magnitude) is acceptable (see REP1-056.118 in REP2-080). NRW also confirmed this agreement in their Deadline 3 Submission – Cover Letter (REP3-090) (paragraph 66). Therefore, the</p> <p><u>The Applicant considers has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter to beis resolved. (see row NRW.MM.15).</u></p>
	<p>Assessment of injury to marine mammals from elevated underwater sound due to piling</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.28 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.124 to REP1-056.132 in REP2-080).</p> <p>NRW has confirmed through its written representation that the overall conclusions of the assessment are valid and that no further assessment is required. NRW also confirmed in their Deadline 3 Submission - Cover Letter (REP3-090) (paragraph 70) that they consider the matter has been resolved. Therefore, the</p> <p><u>The Applicant considers has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter to beis resolved.</u></p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	Barrier effects for marine mammals	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.29 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.133 in REP2-080).</p> <p>NRW has confirmed through its written representation that that Applicant's response to the relevant representation was sufficient to address NRW's concerns. NRW also confirmed in their Deadline 3 Submission - Cover Letter (REP3-090) (paragraph 71) that they consider the matter is closed. Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>
	Inter related effects for marine mammals	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.30 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.136 to REP1-056.139 in REP2-080).</p> <p>NRW has confirmed through its written representation that that Applicant's response to the relevant representation was sufficient to allow NRW to anticipate being able to agree with the overall conclusion in Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (APP-056). NRW also confirmed in their Deadline 3 Submission - Cover Letter (REP3-090) (paragraph 72) that they consider the issue closed. Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>
	Inconsistencies and potential errors in the offshore ornithology assessments	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.3 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.42-52 in REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted revisions offshore ornithology EIA and HRA documents at Deadline 2 to address NRW's concerns and in response to the Examining Authority's Rule 17 letter of 15 August 2024. The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by NRW regarding the EIA and HRA documents. The Applicant met with NRW on 09 September and received subsequent feedback on 18 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>Further meetings took place on 9, 18 and 29 October. A further update to Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore Ornithology (REP2-016F2.5 F02) and Volume 6, Annex 5.2: Offshore Ornithology Displacement Technical Report (F6.5.2 F03) is being <u>was</u> submitted at Deadline 4 to address remaining errata. In addition, the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (D_D4_19 F02) is <u>REP4-030</u> <u>was</u> also being updated at Deadline 4.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing <u>has undertaken</u> a <u>final update to Volume 2 Chapter 5: Offshore Ornithology (F2.5 F03) and the HRA Stage 2 ISAA Part Three: SPAs and Ramsar sites Assessments (E1.3 F03) to repackage the relevant examination materials into a series of Annexes, which have been appended to the Environmental Statement chapter and ISAA at Deadline 7.</u></p> <p><u>The Applicant has also submitted an Offshore Ornithology Final Position Paper (S_D7_6) to provide clarity on the final offshore ornithology assessments contained within the EIA and HRA documents.</u></p> <p><u>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that its ornithological submissions at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be <u>is</u> resolved.</u></p>
	<p>Assessment of the Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI</p>	<p>Amber <u>Beige</u>: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRWs relevant representation (RR-011.7 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.53-55 in REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRWs Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_16).</p> <p>The Applicant submitted a clarification note at Deadline 1 providing a full assessment of the features of the Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI (REP1-037). The Applicant has re-submitted the Offshore Ornithology Assessment of Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI (S_D1_25 F02) <u>REP4-025</u>) at Deadline 4 to address NRWs comments at Deadline 2 and 3.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>The Applicant met with NRW on 18 October to discuss the content of the Offshore Ornithology Assessment of Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI (S_D1_25 F02REP4-025).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes the Offshore Ornithology Assessment of Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI (S_D1_25 F02) submitted at Deadline 4 will allow this matter to be resolved. At Deadline 5, NRW (A) highlighted that they considered that there is potential a moderate adverse impact on the kittiwake colony of the Pen y Gogarth / Great Orme's Head SSSI cumulatively (see NRW - Deadline 5 Submission (REP5-098) paragraph 14). NRW (A) recognised and welcomed the commitment already made to raise turbine draught height to 34 m above lowest astronomical tide. Therefore, NRW (A) was content that the Applicant has provided proportionate mitigation for kittiwake at this site. Whilst the Applicant and SNCBs do not agree on the conclusions this is not considered to be material as agreement has been reached that sufficient mitigation has been provided.</p> <p><u>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect that this matter is not agreed but not material.</u></p>
	Offshore ornithology cumulative assessment	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRW's relevant representation (RR-011.8 and RR-011.9 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.59-69 in REP2-080).</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken a 'gap-filling' exercise in accordance with SNCBs advice. The Applicant held a meeting with the statutory nature conservation bodies on its approach and results to gap-filling historical projects for the Mona Offshore Wind Project on 29 August 2024 and received positive feedback. The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-combination Gap-filling Historical Projects Technical Note (REP3-044) at Deadline 3. The JNCC provided written feedback on 24 October 2024. Considering this and verbal feedback during a meeting on 29 October between the Applicant, the JNCC and NRW, the Applicant has submitted</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>a revised Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-combination Gap-filling Historical Projects Technical Note (S_D3_12 F02) at Deadline 4 REP4-028) at Deadline 4 Deadline 5, the Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Additional Supporting Cumulative Assessment Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP5-075) to address the remaining matters raised by the SNCBs regarding the CEA.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that the Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-combination Gap-filling Historical Projects Technical Note (S_D3_12 F02) at Deadline 4 will allow this issue to be closed. At Deadline 3 Deadline 3, NRW (A) and the JNCC disagreed with the Applicant's conclusion of no significant effects in EIA terms for collision risk on greater black backed gulls cumulatively. The Applicant notes and welcomes the comments by JNCC and NRW (A) that the mitigation proposed for the Mona Offshore Wind Project (i.e. increasing the air gap to 34 m above the lowest astronomical tide) is adequate and that further mitigation is not required. Whilst the Applicant and SNCBs do not agree on the conclusions this is not considered to be material as agreement has been reached that sufficient mitigation has been provided.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (S_D1_12 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter is resolved.</p>
	Offshore ornithology EIA methodology	<p>Amber Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in NRWs relevant representation (RR-011.10, RR-011.15-17 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.66 in REP2-080). The Applicant has responded to NRWs Deadline 3 submission (REP3-090) at Deadline 4 (S_D4_16).</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3 Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by NRW regarding the EIA and HRA documents. The Applicant met with NRW on 09</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>September and received subsequent feedback on 18 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (REP3-059). The JNCC provided written feedback on 24 October 2024. Considering this and verbal feedback during a meeting on 29 October between the Applicant, the JNCC and NRW, the Applicant has submitted a revised Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02 RP4-030) at Deadline 4.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing has submitted a final SoCG with NRW (REP1-025) and believes that the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB Advice (S_D3_19 F02 D1_12 F03) at Deadline 4 will allow 7 which has been updated to reflect NRW's position that this matter to be resolved.</p>
JNCC	Consideration of the sensitivity for the seapens and burrowing megafauna communities	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.71-72 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.140 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant has met with the JNCC on 04 September and 14 October to provide further justification for the classification of the sensitivity for the seapens and burrowing megafauna communities as 'medium' rather than 'high'. The Applicant has, however, provided further information on the implications for the assessment if the sensitivity of this habitat is changed to high as requested by JNCC in The Applicant's response to the JNCC D3 Submission (REP4-048) at Deadline 4. At Deadline 5, the JNCC did not agree with the Applicant's approach at Deadline 4 and requested the information was included in Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology.</p> <p>The Applicant has engaged with JNCC several times since Deadline 5 and a detailed response outlining the Applicant's position to the assessment of the seapens and burrowing megafauna communities is outlined in the Applicant's Response to JNCC D5 Submission - Offshore Benthic Environment Concerns (REP6-091). Notwithstanding the disagreement that remains regarding the final significance conclusion for the</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>assessment of the seapens and burrowing megafauna communities, the Applicant is willing to accept the requested commitment from the JNCC to avoid seapens identified in the pre-construction surveys, where possible. The Applicant has, therefore, included the following commitment in the Mitigation and Monitoring Schedule (J10 F07) "if seapens are noted during the pre-construction surveys they should be avoided as much as practically possible during the subsequent proposed operations". This wording aligns with that suggested by the JNCC in its Deadline 6 Submission (REP6-135).</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with the JNCC (S_D1_15 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect the JNCC's position that subject to this commitment being secured, JNCC would agree that the mitigation measures for offshore benthic ecology are appropriate.</p>
	Decommissioning of offshore infrastructure	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in the JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.73, RR-033.78-80 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.141-144 and REP1-066.149 to151 in REP2-081). The Applicant has responded to the JNCC's Deadline 2 submission in REP2-097.68 in the Applicant's Response to the JNCC Deadline 2 Submission (REP3-036).</p> <p>The Applicant has met with the JNCC on 04 September and 14 October to discuss the assessment of decommissioning of offshore infrastructure. The Applicant highlights that the points raised by JNCC are an industry-wide issue rather than a project specific consideration. NRW stated in their relevant representation (RR-011) that projects should produce a decommissioning plan that retains all decommissioning options (maintain, full removal and partial removal); the options for which can be assessed and refined closer to the time of decommissioning itself.</p> <p>At Deadline 6, the Applicant confirmed to the JNCC that the Applicant is confident that all infrastructure could theoretically be removed based on current-day technology in accordance with</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p><u>current guidance (see Response to JNCC D5 Submission - Offshore Benthic Environment Concerns REP6-091). JNCC have been unable to agree that decommissioning has been adequately assessed.</u></p> <p>The Applicant is unable to align their position with the JNCC</p>
	<p>Consideration of effects within offshore and onshore waters</p>	<p><u>AmberGreen:</u> The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in the JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.73, RR-033.3 and RR-033.70 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.141, REP1-066.145, REP1-066.167 and REP1-067.4 in REP2-081). The Applicant has responded to the JNCC's Deadline 2 submission in REP2-097.65 in the Applicant's Response to the JNCC Deadline 2 Submission (REP3-036) in which the Applicant has provided some indicative numbers for the temporary habitat disturbance associated with sandwave clearance within inshore and offshore waters of the Mona Offshore Cable Corridor.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an a final SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) S D1 15 F03 at Deadline 7 which is continuing <u>has been updated to be progressed and believes reflect the issue is capable of resolution</u> <u>JNCC's position that this matter is resolved.</u></p>
	<p>Calculation of the maximum design scenario for maximum seabed area impacted</p>	<p><u>Red:</u> The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in the JNCC's relevant representation (RR-033.76 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.146-147 in REP2-081). The Applicant has responded to the JNCC's Deadline 2 submission in REP2-097.66 and REP2-097.72 in the Applicant's Response to the JNCC Deadline 2 Submission (REP3-036) in which further clarity, and a worked example, were presented by the Applicant on how the maximum design scenario for seabed take has been determined.</p> <p>The Applicant has met with the JNCC on 04 September and 14 October to clarify how the maximum seabed area has been calculated.</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>The JNCC have requested a breakdown of every calculation in the MDS table for Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology. The Applicant does not intend to provide any further breakdown of the MDSs or update Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic, subtidal and intertidal ecology as the Applicant is confident that the values specified in the DCO are correct and accurate and will not be exceeded and that the MDS for all impact pathways is clear and has been correctly calculated and assessed in Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology (APP-054).</p> <p>The Applicant is unable to align their position with the JNCC</p>
	<p>Appropriateness of the Underwater Sound Management Strategy to secure the reduction of the magnitude of impacts to an acceptable level.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC’s relevant representation (RR-033.53-58 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-056.140 to REP1-056.142 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant welcomes confirmation from JNCC regarding the appropriateness of the UWSMS to secure the reduction of the magnitude of impacts to an acceptable level (for all underwater sound impacts apart from UXO clearance) and that it can be finalised post-consent. The Applicant acknowledges that JNCC have advised that that they would like to see further detail in the strategy and welcomes specific recommendations from JNCC.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with JNCC (S_D1_15 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect JNCC’s position that this matter is resolved for all underwater sound impacts apart from UXO clearance.</p>
	<p>Use of scare charges as part of the measures outlined in the Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC’s relevant representation (RR-033.57 and RR-033.65 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.95in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant requested guidance for alternatives during the seventh marine mammal expert working group meeting, and JNCC advised that they provide advice for projects on a case-by-case basis. Therefore, the Applicant will seek project-specific</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>recommendations in developing the final MMMP and UWSMS in consultation with relevant stakeholders, including JNCC.</p> <p>The JNCC has not provided further steer on scare charges (JNCC's response in JNCC's Deadline 2 Submission - Response to Relevant Representation Comments (REP2-097, see RR-033.57)) in their Deadline 2 or Deadline 3 submissions therefore the Applicant considers this matter to be closed.</p>
	<p>Assessment of injury and disturbance to marine mammals from elevated underwater sound due to vessel use and other (non-piling and non-UXO) sound producing activities</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs written representation (REP1-066.127 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The JNCC have provided no further comments in their Deadline 2 or Deadline 3 submissions therefore the Applicant considers this matter to be closed.</p>
	<p>Consideration of noise abatement systems in the marine mammal EIA</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC's written representation (REP1-066.105 and REP1-066.114 to REP1-066.119 in REP2-081) and in JNCC's relevant representation (REP2-097.38 in REP3-036).</p> <p>The Applicant has clarified its intention regarding the consideration of noise abatement systems and is aware of ongoing industry discussion surrounding commitment to noise abatement technologies. However, at this point guidance is not in the public domain. The JNCC have provided no further comments in their Deadline 2 or Deadline 3 submissions therefore the Applicant considers this matter to be closed.</p>
	<p>Collision risk to marine mammals from construction and operational vessels</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCC's written representation (REP1-066.128-132 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant welcomes JNCC's response and confirmation of no major concerns with collision risk (see REP1-066.128 in the Applicants Appendix to Response to WRs: JNCC (REP2-081)). Therefore, the Applicant considers this matter to be resolved.</p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Inconsistencies and potential errors in the offshore ornithology assessments</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.9-10 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.2-16 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted revisions offshore ornithology EIA and HRA documents at Deadline 2 to address NRW's concerns and in response to the Examining Authority's Rule 17 letter on 15 August 2024. The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by JNCC regarding the EIA and HRA documents. The Applicant met with JNCC on 04 September and received subsequent feedback on 14 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059). The Applicant submitted the Offshore ornithology errata clarification note at Deadline 3 (REP2-074) to address remaining inconsistencies and potential errors in the offshore ornithology assessments. The Applicant has submitted revised versions of Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (F2.5 F03REP4-007) and Volume 6, Annex 5.2: Offshore Ornithology Displacement Technical Report (F6.5.2 F03REP4-009) at Deadline 4 to address all errata in the Offshore ornithology errata clarification note at Deadline 3 (REP2-074).</p> <p><u>At Deadline 5, the Applicant submitted 'Offshore ornithology additional supporting in-combination assessment information in line with SNCB advice' (REP5-074) and 'Offshore Ornithology Additional Supporting Cumulative Assessment Information in line with SNCB Advice' (REP5-075) to provide the information required by the JNCC to provide their position on adverse effect on integrity of SPAs and significance of cumulative effects.</u></p> <p>The Applicant has <u>undertaken a final update to Volume 2 Chapter 5: Offshore Ornithology (F2.5 F03) and the HRA Stage 2 ISAA Part Three: SPAs and Ramsar sites Assessments (E1.3 F03) to repackage the relevant examination materials into a series of Annexes, which have been appended to the Environmental Statement chapter and ISAA at Deadline 7.</u></p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Offshore ornithology cumulative assessment</p>	<p>The Applicant has also submitted an Offshore Ornithology Final Position Paper (S_D7_6) to provide clarity on the final offshore ornithology assessments contained within the EIA and HRA documents.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028)S_D1_15 F03) at Deadline 7 which is continuing has been updated to be progressed and believes reflect JNCC's position that the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059) and Offshore ornithology errata clarification note (REP2-074) at Deadline 3 will allow this issue to be closed. matter has now been resolved</p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.18 and 19 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.26 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken a 'gap-filling' exercise in accordance with SNCBs advice. The Applicant held a meeting with the statutory nature conservation bodies on its approach and results to gap-filling historical projects for the Mona Offshore Wind Project on 29 August 2024 and received positive feedback. The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-combination Gap-filling Historical Projects Technical Note (REP3-044) at Deadline 3. The Applicant notes that 'JNCC are satisfied with the approach taken for that gap-filling exercise, and the projects included in the EIA cumulative assessment' (REP1-028).</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an SoCG with JNCC (REP1-028) which is continuing to be progressed and believes that the Review of Offshore ornithology CEA and In-Combination Assessment (S_D4_9) at Deadline 4 will allow this issue to be closed. At Deadline 5, the Applicant submitted 'Offshore Ornithology Additional Supporting Cumulative Assessment Information in line with SNCB Advice' (REP5-075) to provide the information required by the JNCC to provide their position on significance of cumulative effects. The Applicant has undertaken a final update to Volume 2 Chapter 5: Offshore Ornithology (F2.5</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Offshore ornithology EIA methodology</p>	<p>F03) to repackage the relevant examination materials into a series of Annexes, which have been appended to the Environmental Statement chapter at Deadline 7.</p> <p>The Applicant has also submitted an Offshore Ornithology Final Position Paper (S_D7_6) to provide clarity on the final offshore ornithology assessments contained within the EIA and HRA documents.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with JNCC (S_D1_15 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect JNCC's position that this matter has now been resolved</p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in JNCCs relevant representation (RR-033.11-17 and RR-033.22-29-69 in PDA-008) and written representation (REP1-066.27-36 and REP1-066.46 in REP2-081).</p> <p>The Applicant submitted the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059) at Deadline 3 which presents the information requested by JNCCC regarding the EIA methodology. The Applicant met with JNCC on 04 September and received subsequent feedback on 14 September on the scope and content of the Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (REP3-059). The JNCC provided feedback on 24 October 2024 and the Applicant has submitted a revised Offshore Ornithology Supporting Information in line with SNCB advice (S_D3_19 F02REP3-059) at Deadline 4. The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with JNCC (S_D1_15 F02) and believes that</p> <p>At Deadline 5, the Applicant submitted 'Offshore Ornithology Additional Supporting Cumulative Assessment Information in line with SNCB advice (S_D3_19 F02)Advice' (REP5-075) to provide the information required by the JNCC to provide their position on significance of cumulative effects.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken a final update to Volume 2 Chapter 5: Offshore Ornithology (F2.5 F03) to repackage the relevant examination materials into a series of Annexes, which</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p><u>have been appended to the Environmental Statement chapter at Deadline 4 will allow 7. The Applicant has also submitted an Offshore Ornithology Final Position Paper (S D7 6) to provide clarity on the final offshore ornithology assessments contained within the EIA and HRA documents.</u></p> <p><u>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with JNCC (S D1 15 F03) at Deadline 7 which has been updated to reflect JNCC's position that this issue to be closed matter has now been resolved</u></p>
Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure)	Baseline data considered for scallop	<p>GreenGreen: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in Territorial Sea Committee's relevant representation (RR-018.10 in PDA-008).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee (REP3-025) and all fish and shellfish ecology items have been agreed therefore the Applicant believes this issue is now resolved.</p>
	Consideration of Moir Vannin on the benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology assessment	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in Territorial Sea Committee's relevant representation (RR-018.1 in PDA-008).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee (REP3-025) and all benthic ecology items have been agreed therefore the Applicant believes this issue is now resolved.</p>
	Consideration of the Isle of Man greater black-backed gull population	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in Territorial Sea Committee's relevant representation (RR-018.2 in PDA-008).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee (REP3-025) and all offshore ornithology items have been agreed therefore the Applicant believes this issue is now resolved.</p>
RSPB Cymru	Offshore ornithology EIA methodology	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to this issue raised in RSPB Cymru's relevant representation (RR-071.3 to 12 in PDA-008).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>The Applicant has engaged with the RSPB Cymru and responded to RSPBs Deadline 3 submission (REP3-105) at Deadline 4 (REP4-083).</p> <p>The Applicant submitted Further Context to the RPSB Cymru Statement of Common Ground at Deadline 6 (REP6-069).</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG with the RSPB Cymru (S_D2_8 F02). The Applicant is unable to align their position with the RSPB Cymru on some EIA methodology matters.</p>
<p>Sea Watch Foundation</p> <p>Walney Extension Limited</p> <p>Walney (UK) Offshore Windfarms Limited</p> <p>Ørsted Burbo UK</p> <p>Morecambe Wind Limited</p> <p>James Wilson</p> <p>Burbo Extension Ltd</p> <p>Barrow Offshore Wind Limited</p> <p>Morecambe Offshore Wind Limited</p> <p>Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited</p> <p>Scottish Fishermen's Federation</p> <p>Scottish Whitefish Producers Association</p> <p>West Coast Sea Products</p> <p>Ann Conway</p> <p>The Wildlife Trusts Wales</p>	<p>Fish</p> <p>Intertidal and subtidal seabed habitats and species</p> <p>Marine mammals</p> <p>Birds</p> <p>Wider ecosystem impacts and interactions and relevant protected migratory species.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
<p>18 – Onshore biodiversity, ecology and natural environment</p>		
<p>CCBC</p>	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) highlights a concern around the long-term monitoring and management of ecological</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62)</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures. The Local Impact Report also request more detail be provided on mitigation measures and expected outcomes to assist with any monitoring and management.</p>	<p>and updates have been made to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (J22 F02).</p> <p>The Applicant will be providing<u>provided</u> an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 (<u>REP5-034</u>) to clarify the position on long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (<u>REP3-061</u>) believes <u>S_D3_23 F04</u> has been updated to reflect the Council's position that these updates have adequately addressed their concerns on this issue is capable of resolution through this process.<u>matter.</u></p>
	<p>CCBC raised a query regarding impacts to Traeth Pensarn SSSI in their relevant representation (RR-009).</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further information to understand the certainty of the trenchless approach to protect protected sites.</p>	<p>Amber<u>Green</u>: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-091.12) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a <u>In the</u> SoCG <u>discussions</u> with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes, they have confirmed that this issue is capable of resolution<u>matter has been resolved</u> through this process.<u>responses to relevant and written representations.</u></p>
	<p>CCBC raised the need for an assessment of potential impacts of heat radiation on animal health in their relevant representation (RR-009).</p> <p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) reiterates the need for an assessment of potential for heat radiation from the underground cables to affect animal health.</p>	<p><u>Green</u>: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-091.12) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62).</p> <p>CCBC have confirmed, in their response to the Examining Authority's written question Q.1.1.2 (REP3-078), that it considers its concerns relating to potential impacts of heat radiation to be resolved.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raised concerns with the onshore and intertidal ornithology assessment and Outline Bird Protection Plan including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Breeding bird survey methodology • Wintering and migratory bird survey methodology 	<p>Amber<u>Green</u>: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62).</p> <p>The Applicant will be providing<u>provided</u> an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5<u>6</u> to address CCBC's concerns. (<u>REP6-030</u>).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The use of netting of vegetation outside of the breeding bird season 	<p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes S_D3_23 F04) has been updated to reflect the Council's position that these issues are capable of resolution through updates have adequately addressed their concerns on this process matter.</p>
DCC	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) highlights a concern around the long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures. The Local Impact Report also request more detail be provided on mitigation measures and expected outcomes to assist with any monitoring and management.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62) and updates have been made to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (J22 F02).</p> <p>The Applicant will be providing provided an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 5 (REP5-034) to clarify the position on long-term monitoring and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and believes S_D3_22 F04) has been updated to reflect the Council's position that these updates have adequately addressed their concerns on this issue is capable of resolution through this process matter.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) requests further information to understand the certainty of the trenchless approach to protect protected sites.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62). The Applicant is progressing a In the SoCG discussions with DCC and believes, they have confirmed that this issue is capable of resolution matter has been resolved through this process responses to relevant and written representations.</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raises the need for an assessment of potential for heat radiation from the underground cables to affect animal health.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to CCBCs relevant representations on this point (PDA-008, paragraph RR-091.12) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62).</p> <p>DCC have confirmed, in their response to the Examining Authority's written question Q.1.1.2 (REP3-078), that it considers its concerns relating to potential impacts of heat radiation to be resolved.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) raised concerns with the onshore and intertidal ornithology assessment and Outline Bird Protection Plan including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Breeding bird survey methodology • Wintering and migratory bird survey methodology • The use of netting of vegetation outside of the breeding bird season 	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.32 to REP1-049.62). The Applicant will be providing provided an update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 56 to address DCC's concerns- (REP6-030).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and believes S_D3_22 F04 has been updated to reflect the Council's position that these issues are capable of resolution through updates have adequately addressed their concerns on this process matter.</p>
NRW	<p>NRW raised a query regarding the assessment of potential impacts to barn owls through their relevant representation (RR-011).</p> <p>NRW proposed a number of minor amendments to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan through their relevant representation (RR-011). This included adding a commitment to an external Ecological Compliance Audit and providing more detail on long term management of mitigation measures.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.119). NRW have confirmed through their written representation (REP1-056) that this response has addressed their concerns, therefore the Applicant believes this issue has now been resolved. This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and NRW (REP1-026, paragraph NRW.OE.01 to NRW.OE.12).</p> <p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.121). Through their written representation (REP1-056) NRW have provided further detail on the updates required to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan. The Applicant has responded to these proposed updates in their response to written representations (REP2-080) and updates have been made to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (J22 F02). The Applicant will be providing an has provided a further update to the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan at Deadline 57 (J22 F05) to clarify address NRW's remaining comments. This matter has now been agreed between the position on long-term monitoring parties and management of ecological mitigation and biodiversity enhancement measures. The Applicant this is progressing a reflected in the Onshore</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>SoCG with NRW (REP1-026) and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. S_D1_13 F03, paragraph NRW.OE.13</p>
	<p>NRW proposed a number of minor amendments to the Outline Biosecurity Protocol thought their relevant representation (RR-011). This included updating the plan to consider landscape planting, diseases that may affect protected species, and preventive techniques and adding reference to the Invasive Alien Species (Enforcement and Permitting) Order 2019.</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.124). Through their written representation (REP1-056) NRW have confirmed the response to the relevant representation has addressed their concerns, therefore the Applicant believes this issue has now been resolved. This is captured in the SoCG between the Applicant and NRW (REP1-026, paragraph NRW.OE.15).</p>
<p>Stuart Neil Rebecca Face DMPC Jennings Building & Civil Engineering Limited Mr AEM Owen & A Owen Cyf Mr EW Roberts Mr G & Mrs M Williams Mr H & Mrs C Lloyd Mr RW Roberts The Executors of the Late Sir David Watkin Williams-Wynn. Bt. The Revd Canon Brian Mayne</p>	<p>Concerns regarding impacts to wildlife and habitats and the proposed ecological mitigation have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
<p>19 – Other offshore infrastructure and activities</p>		
<p>Eni UK</p>	<p>Through their relevant representation (RR-019), Eni UK highlighted the potential for interaction between the Mona Offshore Wind project and Eni UK's activities relating to the</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-019.1 to 6). The Applicant and Eni UK have been engaging constructively to ensure co-existence, as demonstrated through the SoCG</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>Liverpool Bay oil and gas fields and their proposed HyNet carbon dioxide storage reservoirs.</p>	<p>between the parties (REP3-029 in which all matters have been agreed at Deadline 7 (S_D1_30 F03)).</p> <p>The Applicant believes considers this issue has been agreed with Eni UK.</p>
<p>McMahon Design and Management Ltd McMahon Design & Management Ltd. on behalf of euNetworks Microsoft Ireland Operations Limited Virgin Media O2</p>	<p>Potential effects on, and the need for co-existence with, operational and proposed subsea telecommunications assets were highlighted in relevant representations and written representations (RR-020, RR-041, RR-085 and REP1-069). Microsoft have provided further project details for their proposed subsea telecommunications cable expected to make landfall at Abergele in response to ExQ1 (REP3-100).</p>	<p>Amber Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-020.2, RR-041.1, RR-085.1) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraphs REP1-069.1 to 3 and REP4-072, paragraphs REP3-100.1 to 4) and is engaging with these stakeholders on crossing and proximity agreements where appropriate. The following industry best practice (see the Commercial Side Agreements Tracker (S_D1_24 F02) for further information regarding the Rockabill and Sirius South telecommunications cables). No further representations have been made and therefore, the Applicant believes considers these issues are capable of resolution through this process matters agreed.</p> <p>See the Commercial Side Agreements Tracker (REP1-036) for further information regarding existing Rockabill and Sirius South telecommunications cables. The Applicant would welcome continued engagement with Microsoft on their proposed subsea telecommunications cable expected to make landfall in Abergele, and has presented an updated cumulative effects assessment with this project in its Review of Cumulative Effects Assessment and In-Combination Assessment (REP3-058). The Applicant and Microsoft met in November 2024 to discuss their respective projects and commence engagement on crossing and proximity agreements. Microsoft have not submitted any further representations and therefore, the Applicant considers this matter agreed.</p>
<p>Barrow Offshore Wind Limited Burbo Extension Ltd Morecambe Wind Limited</p>	<p>Relevant representations raised concerns that the Mona Offshore Wind Project may adversely affect the energy yields of the following operational offshore wind farms in the East Irish Sea:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Barrow (RR-004) 	<p>Red: The Applicant and the Grsted IPs have progressed a SoCG, with the final version submitted at Deadline 7 (S_D6_48 F02). See OIP.WE.1 for details of each party's final position on this issue.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Walney (UK) Offshore Windfarms Limited</p> <p>Walney Extension Limited</p> <p>Scottish Power Renewables (WODS) LTD</p> <p>Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited (Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Burbo Bank (RR-090) Burbo Extension (RR-007) West of Duddon Sands (RR-047 and RR-074) Walney (RR-087) Walney Extension (RR-088) <p>This matter has been further addressed in written submissions submitted jointly by the above Ørsted IPs in their written representation at Deadline 1 (REP1-072), response to comments on written representations at Deadline 2 (REP2-104) and responses to ExQ1s (REP3-103 Q1.19.3 and Q1.19.4). <u>responses to ExQ1s at Deadline 3 (REP3-103 Q1.19.3 and Q1.19.4), in oral submissions at ISH4 and subsequent post-hearing written submissions at Deadline 4 (REP4-129 and REP4-132), responses to ExQ2s (REP5-118) and wake impact assessment report (REP5-120) at Deadline 5, in further oral submissions at ISH6 and subsequent post-hearing written submissions at Deadline 6 (REP6-147).</u></p>	<p>The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-004.6, RR-007.6, RR-047.6, RR-074.3, RR-087.6, RR-088.6, RR-090.7), the written representation (REP2-078, paragraph REP1-072.10), Deadline 2 submission (REP3-042), has responded to ExQ1s relating to this matter (REP3-062, Q1.19.1, Q1.19.2 and Q1.19.4), has provided comments on the Ørsted IPs response to ExQ1 (REP4-079, paragraphs REP3-103.1 to REP3-103.5), has responded to Ørsted IPs oral submissions at ISH4 (REP4-032), has provided comments on the Ørsted IPs wake impact assessment report (REP6-130), has responded to Ørsted IPs oral submissions at ISH6 (REP6-083) and to Deadline 6 submissions (S_D7_21).</p> <p>It has not been possible for the parties to reach agreement on this matter. See OIP.WE.1 of the final SoCG (7 (S_D6_48 F02)) for details of final positions.</p>
<p>Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited</p> <p>Moor Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited</p> <p>Barrow Offshore Wind Limited</p> <p>Burbo Extension Ltd</p> <p>Morecambe Wind Limited</p> <p>Walney (UK) Offshore Windfarms Limited</p> <p>Walney Extension Limited</p> <p>Scottish Power Renewables (WODS) Ltd</p> <p>Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited (Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited)</p>	<p>The need for co-existence with other offshore wind farms was highlighted in relevant representations by other offshore wind farm operators and developers (RR-003, RR-004, RR-007, RR-045, RR-047, RR-074, RR-087, RR-088, RR-090).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraphs RR-003.1, RR-004.3, RR-007.3, RR-045.4, RR-047.3, RR-074.2, RR-087.3, RR-088.3, RR-090.3) and is actively engaging <u>has engaged</u> with other offshore wind farms on several specific issues which are covered elsewhere in this tracker.</p> <p><u>The Applicant and the Ørsted IPs have progressed a SoCG, with the final version submitted at Deadline 7 (S_D6_48 F02).</u></p> <p>Applicant considers that ongoing engagement with other offshore wind farms is satisfactory and there are no further issues with regards to co-existence.</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited	The Mona Offshore Wind Project offshore export cable corridor crosses the Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm agreement for lease area (RR-003 and REP1-061).	<p>Amber: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-003.1) and written representations (REP2-078, paragraph REP1-061.1 to REP1-061.7) and is engaging in ongoing discussions and negotiations with Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited on this matter.</p> <p>The Applicant expects the relevant documentation will be agreed before the close of the Examination. <u>Awel y Môr have provided their approval for this overlap to The Crown Estate as part of the Applicant's process to obtain a Transmission Agreement for Lease for the export cable route, as set out in REP5-101.</u></p>
Menna Jones	Direct and indirect effects on recreational sea users, including sailing (RR-042).	<p>Purple<u>Green</u>: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-042.1) and <u>there have been no further submissions on this matter during Examination.</u> <u>Therefore, the Applicant</u> does not consider there to be further outstanding issues relating to this matter. However, the Applicant will consider and respond to any further submissions made. <u>considers that this matter is agreed.</u></p>
20 – Seascape and visual resources		
Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure)	Through their relevant representation (RR-018) requested clarification on the height of turbines used in the seascape visualisations.	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-018.12).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing <u>has progressed</u> a SoCG with the Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure) (REP1-024S_D1_11) and all matters relating to seascape have now been agreed with the Territorial Sea Committee (Department of Infrastructure) therefore the Applicant considers this matter closed.</p>
NRW	NRW queried the worst-case scenario for the wind turbines used in the seascape assessment through their relevant representation (RR-011). Further details regarding their concerns has been provided by NRW in Appendix B of their written representation (REP1-056).	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.88 to RR-011.104) and written representations (REP2-080, paragraphs REP1-056.220 to REP1-056.234 and REP1-056.319 to REP1-056.406).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>The Applicant is progressing<u>has progressed</u> a specific SoCG with NRW to address the Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (<u>REP1-027</u>). A meeting was held with S_D1_14. The Applicant and NRW (A)are in agreement on 8 October 2024 this matter and the Applicant believes that<u>considers</u> this matter is now agreed<u>closed</u>.</p>
	<p>NRW have raised methodological and presentational issues with the seascape visualisations through their relevant representations (RR-011). NRW have reiterated these concerns in their written representation (REP1-056).</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.88 to RR-011.104) and written representations (REP2-080, paragraphs REP1-056.220 to REP1-056.234 and REP1-056.319 to REP1-056.406, and REP3_046). <u>The Applicant also responded to these matters at Deadline 4 (Hearing summary (REP4-032), Hearing action points (REP4-036) and associated hearing action points (REP4-036, REP4-038, REP-039, REP4-046, REP4-085 and REP4-087) and response to NRW deadline 3 submission (REP4-047), deadline 5 (REP5-098) and deadline 6 (REP6-127).</u></p> <p>The Applicant <u>has progressed</u> a specific SoCG with NRW to address the Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (<u>S_D1_14</u>). <u>With regards to assessment methodology there are</u> a number of matters agreed (<u>GLVIA3 as the over-arching guidance that has been used in the SLVIA, and limitations associated with wirelines</u>) and a number of <u>matters not agreed (use of GN 017 (NRW, 2023), matrix used in the SLVIA and visibility).</u></p>
	<p>NRW have raised concerns regarding the methodology and conclusion of the assessment of impacts to the Isle of Anglesey National Landscape (NL), Eryri National Park, and the Clwydian Range and Dee Valley (CRDV) NL through their relevant representation. Further details regarding their concerns has been provided by NRW in Appendix B of their written representation (REP1-056).</p>	<p>Red: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.88 to RR-011.104) and written representations (REP2-080, paragraphs REP1-056.220 to REP1-056.234 and REP1-056.319 to REP1-056.406). <u>The Applicant also responded to these matters at Deadline 4 (Hearing summary (REP4-032), Hearing action points (REP4-036) and associated hearing action points (REP4-036, REP4-038, REP-039, REP4-046, REP4-085 and REP4-087) and response to NRW deadline 3 submission (REP4-047), deadline 5 (REP5-098) and deadline 6 (REP6-127).</u></p>

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
	<p>NRW have raised concerns regarding the cumulative effects assessment presented in the Seascape, Landscape and Visual Resources Assessment through their relevant representations (RR-011). NRW have reiterated these concerns in their written representation (REP1-056).</p>	<p>The Applicant has progressed a specific SoCG with NRW to address the Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (S_D1_14). With regards to the project alone assessment conclusions, there are a number of matters agreed (effects on special qualities of Eryri National Park, effects on special qualities of Clywydian Range and Dee Valley, effects on visual receptors in Clywydian Range and Dee Valley and effects on seascape and visual resources over 50 km away within the designated landscapes) and a number of matters not agreed (effects on special qualities of Isle of Anglesey National Landscape, effects on visual receptors within Isle of Anglesey National Landscape, visual receptors in Eryri National Park and night-time effects).</p> <p>Red: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-011.88 to RR-011.104) and written representations (REP2-080, paragraphs REP1-056.220 to REP1-056.234 and REP1-056.319 to REP1-056.406). The Applicant also responded to these matters at Deadline 4 (Hearing summary (REP4-032), Hearing action points (REP4-036) and associated hearing action points (REP4-036, REP4-038, REP-039, REP4-046, REP4-085 and REP4-087) and response to NRW deadline 3 submission (REP4-047), deadline 5 (REP5-098) and deadline 6 (REP6-127).</p> <p>The Applicant has progressed a specific SoCG with NRW to address the Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (S_D1_14). With regards to the cumulative assessment conclusions, there are a number of matters agreed (effects on special qualities of Clywydian Range and Dee Valley, effects on visual receptors in Clywydian Range and Dee Valley, effects on seascape and visual resources over 50 km away within the designated landscapes, effects on visual receptors within Eryri National Park) and a number of matters not agreed (effects on special qualities of Isle of Anglesey National Landscape, effects on visual receptors within Isle of Anglesey</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
<p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon</p>	<p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon raised queries on the methodology used for the Seascope, Landscape and Visual Resources Assessment through their relevant representation (RR-012).</p> <p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon raised queries on the Seascope, Landscape and Visual Resources Assessment conclusions and the proposed mitigation through the Statement of Common Ground Process (RR-012).</p>	<p>National Landscape, visual receptors in Eryri National Park and night-time effects).</p> <p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-012.3). The Applicant is progressing has progressed a SoCG with Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon (REP1-023S_D1_10) and has agreed all matters relating to SLVIA methodology, therefore the Applicant believes this issue is now resolved.</p> <p>Red: The Applicant has progressed a SoCG with Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon (S_D1_10). This matter has not been agreed with Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon.</p>
<p>21 – Socioeconomics</p>		
<p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon</p>	<p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon suggested in their relevant representations (RR-012) that comments would be received on the Outline Skills and Employment Plan.</p> <p>Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon requested further engagement from the Applicant on the selection of port locations in their relevant representations (RR-012).</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant and written representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-012.4 to RR-012.10, S_D4_28, paragraph REP3-080.1 and REP3-080.2).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon (REP1-023S_D1_10 F03) has been updated and have agreed a number of points all matters regarding socioeconomics. The Applicant will continue to engage with Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon of the remaining outstanding issues and believes these issues are capable of resolution through this process. have now been agreed (section 1.4.3).</p>
<p>Welsh Government</p>	<p>Welsh Government, through their written representation (REP1-050), have requested additional detail and clarification on the Outline Skills and Employment Plan</p> <p>Welsh Government, through their written representation (REP1-050), have requested engagement from the Applicant on use of Welsh Ports and the wider Welsh supply chain.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to written representations (REP2-079, paragraph REP1-052.4, S_D4_29, paragraphs REP3-081.5 to REP3-081.10).</p> <p>The Applicant is committed to engaging with Welsh Government throughout the examination.</p> <p>The Applicant is committed to developing a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with the Welsh Government to address these matters. The parties met on 13 December 2024 to progress the matter and agreed the next steps. A further meeting</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>has been scheduled for 24 January 2025 to refine a draft of the MoU, with a view to holding a high-level workshop in February/March 2025 to finalise its scope and content. The Applicant appreciates the Welsh Government's collaborative approach on this matter.</p>
<p>Llandulas and Rhyd Y Foel Community Council Neil Conway Ann Conway Stuart Neil Cefn Meiriadog Community Council</p>	<p>Concerns regarding impacts to the economy, specifically referring to the agricultural and tourism sectors have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, and REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>
<p>22 – Traffic and transport</p>		
<p>CCBC</p>	<p>CCBC requested the submission of a Traffic Management Plan for Abnormal and Indivisible Loads in their relevant representation (RR-009).</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.2). No further comments have been made by CCBC regarding Abnormal and Indivisible Loads in the Local Impact Report (REP1-049). The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes any residual issues regarding Abnormal and Indivisible Loads will be capable of resolution through this process. All traffic and transport matters have been agreed in the SoCG with CCBC (S_D3_23 F04, section 1.4.6).</p>
	<p>CCBC highlighted the need for highway authority consent in respect of signage and for works to apparatus in the highway in their relevant representation (RR-009). Within the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) CCBC have requested further discussion regarding the route for approval of any speed limit alterations and Road Safety Audit process.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.3) and the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.63 to REP1-049.71). The Applicant is engaging with the Highways Officers from CCBC and is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process. The SoCG with CCBC has been updated to reflect the agreement between the parties on this matter, noting that CCBC</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>have requested early engagement regarding the design of accesses, post-consent (S_D3_22 F04, paragraphs CCBC.TT.16 and CCBC.DCO.10).</p>
	<p>CCBC highlighted the need for consultation with the owners of the bridges over the A55 and railway in the highway in their relevant representation (RR-009).</p>	<p>Green: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations (PDA-008, paragraph RR-009.4).</p> <p>The Local Impact Report acknowledges that the North and Mid Wales Trunk Road Agency have been consulted and their feedback has informed the traffic and transport assessment (REP1-049, section 3.5.2), therefore the Applicant considers this matter closed.</p> <p>CCBC have agreed this the SoCG (REP3-061, CCBC.TT.2).</p>
	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) highlights a concern around the cumulative effects assessment for traffic and transport impacts.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.63 to REP1-049.71).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with CCBC (REP3-061) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process. Following discussions between CCBC and the Applicant, CCBC have confirmed that their concerns regarding the cumulative effects assessment for traffic and transport impacts have been addressed. This is captured in the SoCG with CCBC (S_D3_23 F04, paragraph CCBC.TT.12).</p>
<p>DCC</p>	<p>The Local Impact Report (REP1-049) highlights a concern around the cumulative effects assessment for traffic and transport impacts.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded the Local Impact Report (REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.63 to REP1-049.71).</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and believes this issue is capable of resolution through this process. Following discussions between DCC and the Applicant, DCC have confirmed that their concerns regarding the cumulative effects assessment for traffic and transport impacts have been addressed. This is captured in the SoCG with DCC (S_D3_22 F04, paragraph DCC.TT.12).</p>
	<p>Within the Local Impact Report (REP1-049) DCC have requested further discussion regarding the route for approval of any speed limit alterations and Road Safety Audit process.</p>	<p>AmberGreen: The Applicant has responded the Local Impact Report REP2-092, paragraphs REP1-049.63 to REP1-049.71).</p>

MONA OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT

Interested Party	Principle Issues	Progress
		<p>The Applicant is engaging with the Highways Officers from DCC and a meeting was held on 9 October 2024 to discuss the requirements for the Road Safety Audit process.</p> <p>The Applicant is progressing a SoCG with DCC (REP3-060) and believes has been updated to reflect the agreement between the parties on this issue is capable of resolution through this process matter (S_D3_22 F04, paragraph DCC.DCO.12).</p>
<p>Mr & Mrs J T Owen Michael and Sally Leach Stuart Neil Rebecca Face Martyn Hussey Margaret Hussey Gary Johnston on behalf of Suzanne Johnston Gary Johnston Philip Banfield Cefn Meiriadog Community Council</p>	<p>Concerns regarding construction traffic, vehicle movements, road closures, haul road crossing, road safety and access to property have been raised by Interested Parties through relevant representations and written representations.</p>	<p>Purple: The Applicant has responded to relevant representations, written submissions and written representations (PDA-008, REP1-011 and REP2-078) and will consider and respond to any further submissions made.</p>